# METHODOLOGY

# Tunable PhenoCycler imaging of the murine pre-clinical tumour microenvironments

Madelyn J. Abraham<sup>1,2</sup>, Christophe Goncalves<sup>1</sup>, Paige McCallum<sup>1,2</sup>, Vrinda Gupta<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Samuel E. J. Preston<sup>1,2</sup>, Fan Huang<sup>1,2</sup>, Hsiang Chou<sup>1,4</sup>, Natascha Gagnon<sup>1</sup>, Nathalie A. Johnson<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Wilson H. Miller<sup>1,2,4\*</sup>, Koren K. Mann<sup>1,2,5\*</sup> and Sonia V. del Rincon<sup>1,2\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** The tumour microenvironment (TME) consists of tumour-supportive immune cells, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts. PhenoCycler, a high-plex single cell spatial biology imaging platform, is used to characterize the complexity of the TME. Researchers worldwide harvest and bank tissues from mouse models which are employed to model a plethora of human disease. With the explosion of interest in spatial biology, these panoplies of archival tissues provide a valuable resource to answer new questions. Here, we describe our protocols for developing tunable PhenoCycler multiplexed imaging panels and describe our open-source data analysis pipeline. Using these protocols, we used PhenoCycler to spatially resolve the TME of 8 routinely employed pre-clinical models of lymphoma, breast cancer, and melanoma preserved as FFPE.

**Results** Our data reveal distinct TMEs in the different cancer models that were imaged and show that cell-cell contacts differ depending on the tumour type examined. For instance, we found that the immune infiltration in a murine model of melanoma is altered in cellular organization in melanomas that become resistant to aPD-1 therapy, with depletions in a number of cell-cell interactions.

**Conclusions** This work presents a valuable resource study seamlessly adaptable to any field of research involving murine models. The methodology described allows researchers to address newly formed hypotheses using archival materials, bypassing the new to perform new mouse studies.

Keywords PhenoCycler, Murine FFPE, Tumour microenvironment, Spatial analysis, Immunofluorescence

Koren K. Mann koren.mann@mcgill.ca Sonia V. del Rincon soniavictoria.delrincon@mcgill.ca <sup>1</sup>Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada <sup>2</sup>Division of Experimental Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada <sup>3</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada <sup>4</sup>Clinical Research Unit, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada <sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University,

Montreal, QC, Canada

\*Correspondence:

wilson.miller@mcgill.ca

Wilson H. Miller

# Background

Over the past two decades, there has been growing appreciation for the role of the tumour microenvironment (TME) in cancer biology [1, 2]. As such, the central dogma of tumour progression has evolved to assert that oncogenic mutations underlie the transformation of normal cells to malignant cells, and subsequently, nontransformed cells are recruited via secretion of soluble factors, such as cytokines, chemokines, and extracellular vesicles, to support further cancer cell survival and propagation [3–6]. The non-transformed cellular elements of the TME, including immune cells, fibroblasts,

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.





# **Open Access**

and endothelial cells, interact with tumour cells, and both cellular composition and intercellular interactions within the TME are critical influencers of cancer cell growth, metastasis, and response to therapy. Many emerging therapeutics, most notably immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), specifically target components of the TME to elicit tumour control.

Phenotyping of the murine TME has helped to understand the response to novel combinatorial therapies and to track changes in tumour progression from initiation to metastatic disease [7, 8], with multi-parameter flow cytometry being the most widely used technique to study the composition of the TME [9]. In this method, malignant tissues are dissociated into single cell suspensions, stained with a panel of antibodies, and run through a flow cytometer, allowing for the identification of cells within the TME. However, a recent body of work has highlighted that TME composition alone is only part of a much bigger picture, and spatial information (e.g. cellcell interactions) is crucial to further understand tumour progression and response to treatment. Immunofluorescence (IF) imaging of tumour sections, on the other hand, can preserve tissue architecture but is usually restricted to detection of 1 or 2 markers. To overcome these limitations, a surge of highly multiplexed tissue imaging technologies has emerged in the last 10 years [10-13], aimed at providing single cell spatial phenotyping of the TME and other complex tissue types.

PhenoCycler, formerly known as CODEX (CO-Detection by indEXing [13]), has shown immense promise in the highly multiplexed imaging space. In brief, antibodies targeting desired proteins are conjugated to unique oligonucleotide "barcodes" and are then used to stain fresh frozen or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues. The PhenoCycler instrument is then used to automate the cyclic process of tissue washing, hybridizing up to three fluorescent "reporters" to primary antibodies' oligonucleotide "barcodes", imaging the tissue, then removing the fluorescent reporters before starting a new cycle process. This iterative process is repeated until all antibodies in a staining panel have been visualized [14]. Reporters are complementary oligonucleotides to the unique barcodes, and are tagged with either fluorophores ATTO550 AF647, or AF750. As of this writing, PhenoCycler has been used to image up to 101 different markers in single tissue [15, 16], and has been used to spatially profile human cancers such as cutaneous T cell lymphoma [17], follicular lymphoma [18], diffuse large B cell lymphoma [19], Hodgkin's lymphoma [20], bladder cancer [21], colorectal cancer [22], basal cell carcinoma [23], glioblastoma [24], breast cancer [25], and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [26], and human non-cancerous conditions such as ulcerative colitis [27], diabetic nephropathy [28], functional dyspepsia [29], vitiligo [30], and Alzheimer's disease [31].

Comparatively fewer publications have used Pheno-Cycler technology to image murine tissues, and all have reported staining for fresh-frozen samples [13, 32–36]. However, many research groups maintain archives of FFPE murine tissues. FFPE tissue blocks can be successfully sectioned and imaged with minimal evidence of degradation for up to 30 years [37], and FFPE tissues from multiple cohorts or experimental conditions can be easily combined into a single tissue microarray (TMA). Thus, we aimed to develop a tunable murine PhenoCycler antibody panel optimized for FFPE staining, thereby enabling researchers to utilize their archival materials to test newly developed hypotheses with existing material and bypassing the need to perform new mouse studies.

Herein, we describe our protocol for the conjugation of antibodies that are optimized for IF staining of murine tissues preserved as FFPE and provide our protocols for PhenoCycler staining and open-source data analysis, which enables visualization of staining, cell segmentation, cell classification, and neighbourhood/proximity analysis. The protocols described below are tunable and offer flexibility to researchers who wish to use their own antibodies of interest for highly multiplexed staining. Furthermore, we demonstrate the feasibility of this approach with TME data obtained using 16-plex Pheno-Cycler staining on FFPE tissues from pre-clinical mouse models of lymphoma, breast cancer, and melanoma.

# **Materials and methods**

Our tunable PhenoCycler workflow has four major components: (1) antibody selection; (2) antibody conjugation and optimization; (3) tissue staining and imaging; and (4) data analysis (Fig. 1).

# Selection and validation of antibodies for conjugation, and quality control of staining

Due to epitope masking associated with FFPE preservation [38], the careful selection of antibodies is critical to successful PhenoCycler staining. Below, we describe our IF staining protocol for the selection of antibody clones which can prioritized for barcode conjugation. All antibodies should be tested on the tissue they are ultimately meant to stain.

## Deparaffinization and antigen retrieval

- Mount 4 μm microtome tissue sections onto SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisherbrand).
- 2. Deparaffinize slides using the following solutions, for 5 min each: Xylene (x3),100% EtOH (x2), 95% EtOH, 70% EtOH, 50% EtOH, and running tap water
- 3. Transfer slides to a PT Link Pre-treatment machine filled with 1X Tris-EDTA antigen retrieval buffer



Fig. 1 Workflow for selection of antibodies, antibody conjugation, and PhenoCycler staining. Schematic showing the workfow outlined in this Research Resource

(pH 9.0) and cook at 90 °C for 20 min. After depressurization, cool slides for 1 h.

- i. Note: Less toxic alternatives, such as HistoChoice, can be used in place of Xylene.
- ii. Note: Recipes for all solutions used in these protocols can be found in Table 1.

# Blocking

- 4. Rinse slides in tap water and dry the glass around the tissue with a Kimwipe. Circle tissue with a hydrophobic PAP pen, and rinse with 2 changes of IF Wash Buffer.
- 5. Block slides for 30 min at room temperature with Primary Blocking Buffer, then rinse with 2 changes of IF Wash Buffer.
- 6. Block slides for another 30 min at room temperature with FC Blocking Buffer, then rinse with 2 changes of IF Wash Buffer.

# Primary and secondary antibody incubation

- 7. Dilute primary antibody in Antibody Buffer and incubate slides in primary antibody at 4 °C overnight in a humidity chamber.
  - i. Note: For initial optimization, we try 10 ug/ml antibody dilution (approximatively 1 in 100).
  - ii. Note: Staining specificity can be improved for some antibodies by incubating with a higher antibody concentration (e.g. 20 ug/ml), for 30 min at 37 °C.
- 8. Rinse slides with 3 changes of IF Wash Buffer.

- 9. Incubate slides for 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibody conjugated to AlexaFluor647, diluted 1 in 500 in Antibody Buffer.
- 10. Rinse slides with 3 changes of IF Wash Buffer.

# Counterstaining, mounting, and imaging

- 11.Stain tissue with prepared DAPI for 15 min, then rinse slides 3 times with IF Wash Buffer.
- 12. Mount coverslips onto slides with Flouromount-G, and then allow to dry for 15 min.
- 13.Image slides with the same microscope that will be used for PhenoCycler image acquisition.
  - i. Note: Acquiring on the same microscope used for the Phenocycler image acquisition will give a better representation of the final staining. In this study, we used the Fusion microscope from Akoya Biosciences.
  - ii. Note: The results from optimization staining will help in the subsequent steps in assessing the efficacy of the antibody conjugation by comparing both stains.

# Assessing IF staining

Assessing staining quality is challenging. Appropriate negative and positive tissue controls are required. If possible, staining assessment by a pathologist can guide selection of the most appropriate antibody clones. Ideally, a TMA comprising an array of different tissues and pathologies will provide the opportunity for robust assessment of antibody specificity and sensitivity, but whole-tissue slides can be used if a TMA is not available. Critical parameters to consider include:

# Table 1 Recipes for IF staining, antibody conjugation, and PhenoCycler staining solutions

Solution Name	Composition
10X Tris-EDTA Antigen Retrieval Buffer, pH 9.0	6.05 g Tris 1.85 g EDTA 400 mL ddH <sub>2</sub> O - Adjust to pH 9.0 - Complete to 500 mL with ddH <sub>2</sub> O Store at 4 °C for up to 30 Days
1X Tris-EDTA Antigen Retrieval Buffer, pH 9.0	50 mL 10X Tris/EDTA Buffer pH 9.0 450 mL ddH <sub>2</sub> O 250 μL Tween20 - Mix well and make fresh.
10X Tris Buffered Saline (TBS)	80 g NaCl 2 g KCl 30 g Tris - Adjust pH to 7.4 - Complete to 1000 mL with ddH <sub>2</sub> 0
IF Wash Buffer	200 mL 10X TBS 800 mL ddH <sub>2</sub> O 250 uL Tween20
Primary Blocking Buffer	1000 μL IF Wash Buffer 20 μL Goat or Donkey Serum - Vortex to mix.
FC Blocking Buffer	500 μL FC Block 5 μL Anti-Mouse HRP - Vortex to mix.
Antibody Buffer	1000 μL IF Wash Buffer 1 μL Goat or Donkey Serum - Vortex to mix.
Prepared DAPI	500 μL PBS 1 μL 1 mg/mL DAPI - Vortex to mix.
Antibody Reduction Master Mix (A) (for 1 conjugation)	6.6 μL Reduction Solution 1 (A) 275 μL Reduction Solution 2 (A) - Thawed aliguots of Reduction Solution 1 (A) should not be re-used
Bleaching Solution	0.8 mL 10 M NaOH 2.7mL 50% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 26.5 mL 1X PBS
Staining Buffer with Blockers (A) (for 2 samples)	362 μL Staining Buffer 9.5 μL N Blocker (A) 9.5 μL G2 Blocker (A) 9.5 μL J Blocker (A) 9.5 μL S Blocker (A)
Post-Staining Fixation (A)	1 mL 16% PFA 9 mL Storage Buffer (A)
Final Fixative Solution (A)	1000 μL 1X PBS 20 μL Fixative Reagent (A) - Thawed aliquots of Fixative Reagent (A) should not be re-used
Screening Buffer (A)	3.5 mL 10X PhenoCycler Buffer (A) 24.5 mL Nuclease-Free Water 7 mL DMSO - Allow the Screening Buffer to equilibrate to room temperature prior to use
Reporter Stock Solution (A) (for 5 cycles)	1220 uL Nuclease Free Water 150 uL 10X PhenoCycler Buffer (A) 125 uL Assay Reagent (A) 5 uL Nuclear Stain (A)

a) if staining pattern within the tissue is consistent with reported literature. Multiple online resources can be used, such as ProteinAtlas. b) Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR): this parameter will guide the user to which fluorescent reporter to use. For example, if the SNR is very high, the dim AF750 reporter should but used, while the bright AF647 can be used for markers with low SNR.

# Antibody conjugation to an oligonucleotide barcode

Once an antibody has shown strong and specific signal by IF, it can proceed to conjugation. Antibodies can be conjugated to barcodes which have complementary reporters in ATTO550, AF647, or AF750 fluorophores. IF screening will inform which fluorophore will give optimal results. In general, antibodies which show very strong and specific staining should be conjugated to barcodes that have complementary reporters in AF750, antibodies which have weaker signal and lower abundance should be conjugated with barcodes that have complementary reporters in AF647, and antibodies which have medium abundance and weak to medium signal strength should be conjugated with barcodes that have complementary reporters in ATTO550.

Antibody conjugation requires reagents from Akoya Biosciences, and thus follows their recommended protocol. A more detailed protocol can be found here: https:// www.akoyabio.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/ CODEX-User-Manual.pdf.

# Pre-experiment notes

- Antibodies to be conjugated must be carrier-free. The presence of BSA or other stabilizing agents will interfere with conjugation.
- If conjugating more than one antibody, carefully label all MWCO columns prior to starting. We recommend conjugating no more than 3 antibodies at a time, to reduce the risk of cross-contamination.
- Reagents which are purchased from Akoya Biosciences will be annotated as (*A*).

## **Conjugation reaction**

- For each antibody to be conjugated, add 450 μL of Filter Blocking Solution (*A*) to a labelled 50 kDa MWCO column, then spin at 12,000 g for 2 min. Following centrifugation, discard flowthrough and aspirate any remaining liquid out of the filter unit.
  - i. Note: This is the only step where the liquid should be aspirated out of the filter unit. In all subsequent steps, the remaining liquid contains the unconjugated/conjugated antibody.
- 2. Add 50  $\mu$ g of each antibody to be conjugated to their respective filter units, at an adjusted volume of 100  $\mu$ L. Spin down tubes at 12,000 g for 8 min and discard the flowthrough.
- Add 260 μL of Antibody Reduction Master Mix (<u>A</u>) to the top of each filter unit, close this lid, vortex for 3 s, then allow to sit at room temperature for 30 min.
  - i. Note: do not allow this reaction to exceed 30 min, as it can result in irreversible antibody damage.

- 4. Spin down tubes at 12,000 g for 8 min, then discard the flowthrough.
- 5. Add 450 μL of Conjugation Solution (*A*). Spin down again at 12,000 g for 8 min, then discard the flowthrough.
- During the second centrifugation, prepare each assigned Barcode (*A*) by adding 10 μL of molecular biology grade nuclease free water, then add 210 μL of Conjugation Solution (*A*) to the resuspended barcodes.
- 7. Add the barcode solution to the filter. Close the lid and vortex for 3 s. Incubate the antibody conjugation reaction at room temperature for 2 h.
- 8. Spin down tubes at 12,000 g for 8 min, then discard the flowthrough.
- Add 450 µL of Purification Solution (*A*) to each filter, and spin down tubes at 12,000 g for 8 min, then discard the flowthrough.
- 10.Repeat Step 9 for a total of 3 purifications. At the end of the third purification, the filter will contain the conjugated antibody.
- 11.For each antibody, label a fresh tube with the antibody name and the barcode ID. Add 100  $\mu$ L of Antibody Storage Solution (*A*) to each filter. Then, invert the filter unit into the new collection tube, and spin down at 3,000 g for 2 min.
  - i. Note: The final volume of the antibody will be around 120  $\mu L.$
  - ii. Note: For long term storage, transfer antibodies to autoclaved screw top tubes, to reduce evaporation.

# Validation of conjugation to an oligonucleotide barcode

- 12.Cast a 10% SDS-PAGE gel, with 2 wells for each antibody whose conjugation is being validated, plus an additional well for the protein ladder (i.e. if validating 4 antibodies, you would need a total of 9 wells, so a 10-well gel will suffice). Set up gel running apparatus as you would for a typical western blot.
  - i. Note: Details on SDS-PAGE gel casting can be found here: https://www.bio-rad.com/webroot/ web/pdf/lsr/literature/Bulletin\_6201.pdf.

13.Add 1  $\mu$ L of unconjugated antibody to a tube with 9  $\mu$ L of 1X lammeli loading dye. Add 0.5  $\mu$ L of conjugated antibody to a different tube with 9.5  $\mu$ L of 1X lammeli.

14.Boil samples for 5 min on a heating block at 95 °C.

- 15.Load samples and protein ladder into the gel and run until resolved.
  - i. Note: We typically run our gels at 90 V for 1.5 h.
- 16.Following running, carefully remove the gel from the cassette, and place in a glass container. Cover the gel with GelCode Blue Reagent.

- 17.Allow the gel to incubate in the GelCode reagent with gentle rocking, until the solution changes from pale brown to blue.
- 18.Carefully discard the GelCode reagent and replace with distilled water. Allow the gel to rinse with gentle rocking for 20 min. Wash 3 times with distilled water in the same fashion for 20 min each.
- 19.Following washing, blue antibody bands should resolve around 50 kDa. Image the bands with any gel imaging apparatus, such as a ChemiDoc.
- 20.Conjugation occurred successfully if there is an upward shift in weight from the unconjugated antibody to the conjugated antibody.

# Optimization of conjugated antibodies

Prior to performing a complete PhenoCycler experiment, conjugated antibodies must be further quality controlled and titrated. To do this, tissues are stained with the conjugated antibody of interest, and PhenoCycler reporters are manually applied and imaged. Staining fidelity is then assessed, and proper staining conditions are noted for larger multiplexed staining experiments.

# Tissue staining and fixation

- 1. Follow Steps 1–3 for *Deparaffinization and Antigen Retrieval*, described above.
- 2. To quench auto-fluorescence, place the slide in glass container and cover with Bleaching Solution. Sandwich the glass container between two LED lamps for 45 min at room temperature.
- 3. Replace the Bleaching Solution with fresh Bleaching Solution and repeat LED photobleaching for 45 min at room temperature [39].
  - i. Note: we find that this extended LED photobleaching step helps decrease auto-fluorescenceassociated with FFPE tissue staining.
  - ii. Note: The amount of  $H_2O_2$  can be increased to 10% in tissue which demonstrate high levels of autofluorescence, such as heart or liver.
- 4. Wash the tissue 4 times in 1X PBS for 5 min per wash.
- 5. Dry the glass around the tissue with a Kimwipe, and circle tissue with a PAP pen.
- 6. Cover the tissue with Staining Buffer (*A*) and allow the tissue to equilibrate at room temperature for 30 min.
- While the tissue is equilibrating, prepare the antibody solution. Antibodies are diluted in Staining Buffer, completed with N Blocker, G2 Blocker, J Blocker, and S Blocker (<u>A</u>).
- 8. Stain tissue by adding prepared antibody onto the tissue.
  - i. Note: Staining time and temperature need to be optimized for each antibody. Common staining

conditions include 3 h at room temperature, or overnight at 4  $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}.$ 

- 9. Following antibody incubation, wash tissue 3 times in fresh Staining Buffer.
  - i. Note: For highly multiplexed experiments where antibody staining conditions differ, staining can be done sequentially. For instance, 3 antibodies can be applied for 30 min at 37 °C, then tissue can be washed in buffer and the remaining antibodies in the staining panel can be applied overnight at 4 °C.
- 10.Perform first tissue fixation, by incubating tissue in Post-Staining Fixation Solution (<u>A</u>) for 10 min at room temperature. Rinse tissue 3 times with PBS.
- 11.For the second fixation, transfer slides to a Coplin jar on ice filled with pre-chilled methanol. Allow to incubate for 5 min, then quickly transfer back to PBS. Rinse 3 times with PBS.
- 12.For the third and final fixation, add Final Fixative Solution (<u>A</u>) to slides, and incubate in a humidity chamber at room temperature for 20 min. Rinse tissue 3 times with PBS.
- 13. Transfer slide to Coplin jar with Storage Buffer (A).
  - i. Note: Slides can remain in Storage Buffer (*A*) at this step for up to 5 days at 4 °C.

# Manual application of PhenoCycler reporters and tissue imaging

- 14. Prepare Screening Buffer ( $\underline{A}$ ) and allow to equilibrate to room temperature for 20 min before use.
- 15.Rinse slides in 3 changes of Screening Buffer (<u>A</u>) for 1 min each, to allow the tissue to equilibrate to the new buffer.
- 16.Prepare the Reporter Stock Solution (<u>A</u>) and add 2.5  $\mu$ L of each reporter to be tested to 97.5  $\mu$ L of Reporter Stock Solution (<u>A</u>).
  - i. Note: More than one antibody/reporter can be tested at a time, provided the reporters are conjugated to different fluorophores. For instance, if tissue is stained with CD4-BX001 and CD19-BX002, 2.5  $\mu$ L of both RX001-AF750 and RX002-ATTO550 can be diluted into 95  $\mu$ L of Reporter Stock Solution (<u>A</u>) for marker visualization in a single step.
- 17.Pipette the prepared Reporter Stock Solution ( $\underline{A}$ ) onto the tissue and incubate, protected from light, for 5 min.
- 18. Rinse slides in 3 changes of Screening Buffer ( $\underline{A}$ ), for 1 min each.
- 19.Rinse slides with 1 change of 1X PhenoCycler Buffer (<u>A</u>).
- 20.Mount coverslips onto slides with Flouromount-G, and then allow to dry for 15 min.

21.Image slides with the same microscope that will be used for PhenoCycler image acquisition.

# Assessing PhenoCycler staining

When assessing the quality of a conjugated antibody, it is important to keep in mind the SNR from the previous step, as it will be used as a reference to compare for quality control. At this stage, multiple antibody concentrations should be tested as well as multiple incubation times and temperatures in order to get the best SNR. We also recommend performing one final staining with two extra markers: one that should co-localize and one that should not with the conjugated antibody being tested. This step will allow you to assess any non-specific binding of conjugated antibody and adjust staining and acquisition parameters for best SNR. Staining intensity and pattern should match the one obtained by standard IF staining.

# PhenoCycler multiplexed imaging

Once all antibodies have been conjugated and optimized, you may proceed to a full PhenoCycler staining experiment. Prior to beginning, all antibodies must be assigned to a cycle, a step that requires some thoughtful consideration. Each cycle will consist of up to 3 different antibodies, conjugated to barcodes that have reporters with different fluorophores. For instance, cycle 2 may consist of imaging CD4-BX001, CD19-BX002, and CD11b-BX003, which have RX001-AF750, RX002-ATTO550, and RX003-AF647 complementary reporters. When designing cycles, we try to include markers that are not likely to be present on the same cell type (i.e., CD4, a marker of helper T cells, may be put in cycle 2, while CD3, a pan-lymphocyte marker, may be put in cycle 3). The first and last cycle of each staining experiment will consist of only DAPI ("Blank" cycle).

# Tissue staining and reporter plate preparation

- Follow Steps 1–13 for *Tissue Staining and Fixation*, using all conjugated antibodies in the staining panel. Leave slide in Storage Buffer (*A*) until prepared to proceed to a full PhenoCycler Image Acquisition run.
  - i. Note: For full PhenoCycler staining experiments, antibodies should not exceed 40% of the total Complete Staining Buffer solution, or insufficient blocking will occur.
- Prepare enough Reporter Stock Solution (<u>A</u>) for the number of cycles in the experiment (each cycle requires a maximum of 250 μL of Reporter Stock Solution (<u>A</u>)).
- 3. For each cycle, label an amber 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, and add 5  $\mu$ L of each reporter for the assigned cycle. Complete to a volume of 250  $\mu$ L using

Reporter Stock Solution ( $\underline{A}$ ). Mix the contents gently by pipetting up and down.

- i. Note: keep reporters on ice until use, and spin down prior to pipetting to collect any accumulated liquid in the cap.
- ii. Note: the first cycle and the final cycle will consist of Reporter Stock Solution (<u>A</u>), with no fluorescent reporters added (i.e. "Blank" cycles).
- 4. For each assigned cycle, pipette the reporter solution into a black-walled 96-well plate. Cover the wells with adhesive foil.
- 5. The reporter plate can be stored at 4 °C for up to two weeks or can be used immediately for the PhenoCycler experiment.

# PhenoCycler image acquisition

Images are acquired using the default PhenoCycler protocol. In this study, we used the Phenocycler-Fusion system combining Phenocycler instrument with the Fusion microscope to streamline acquisition. We used acquisition parameters of the different antibodies defined during the titration step to acquire the fully stained tissue.

# **Open-source data analysis**

Following a complete PhenoCycler staining experiment, PhenoCycler software will process images for downstream analysis. Imaging processing includes tile stitching and background correction. The final multiplexed image will be in QPTIFF format, which can be imported and visualized by many image analysis programs.

In this pipeline, we use the open source QuPath software, v3.2, which can be found here:

https://github.com/qupath/qupath/releases/.

Cell segmentation is achieved using StarDist, which can be found here:

https://github.com/qupath/qupath-extension-stardist/releases.

The pre-trained model we used for StarDist Segmentation can be found here:

https://github.com/qupath/models/tree/main/stardist. The StarDist.groovy file used in this study and sample Classifier data can be found here:

https://github.com/MMdR-lab/mouseCODEX-paper.

## Setup

- 1. Create directory including StarDist segmentation extension (qupath-extension-stardist-0.5.0.jar), the pre-trained StarDist model (dsb2018\_heavy\_ augment.pb), and stardist\_segmentation\_0.5px. groovy file.
- 2. To install the StarDist extension into QuPath, open QuPath and drag and drop the segmentation extension (qupath-extension-stardist-0.5.0.jar) directly into the open QuPath window.

3. Create a list of the channel names in the order of acquisition in a.txt file with a separate line for each name.

# QuPath image import

- 1. Create a new project in QuPath and add the PhenoCycler QPTIFF as a new image. Double click to open the image, and a pop-up will prompt you to select the image type. Set the image type as Fluorescence and keep "Auto-generate pyramids" selected.
  - i. Note: QPTIFF files are generated by the Phenocycler-Fusion system. For researchers using the Phenocycler combined to standard microscope, single channel OME-TIFF files can be combined into multiple channel OME-TIFF in ImageJ prior to proceeding.
- 2. Once the QPTIFF image is opened, all markers (i.e.  $\alpha$ SMA, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD11b, CD11c, CD19. CD31, CD45, c-Myc, F4/80, FoxP3, Ki67, MelanA, MPO, and NaKATPase) will be simultaneously visible on the tissue, labeled as the fluorophore they were conjugated to in the order of cycle acquisition.
- 3. To set channel names, copy the list of channel names to the clipboard and then select the corresponding channels in the "Brightness/Contrast" dialog from the "View" dropdown menu and paste. Click apply to confirm.
- 4. In the "Brightness/Contrast" dialog box, you can toggle markers on and off, change their pseudo-colouring, and adjust their min/max display.
- 5. Make the channel names available as classifications in the "Annotations" tab by right-clicking or selecting the vertical ellipsis next to "Auto set" and choosing "Populate from image channels".

# QuPath cell classification and cell segmentation

- 6. To classify cells into phenotypes, a training image is used. The training image will contain 5 or 6 representation regions of interest, pooled into a single image.
  - i. To create a training image, select "Training images" from the "Classify" dropdown menu, and select "Create region annotations".
  - ii. Using the default settings of: Width- 500; Height-500; Size units-  $\mu$ m; Classification- Region\*; and Location- Viewer Centre, create regions throughout the tissue which contain the cell phenotypes you wish to annotate.
  - iii. Save the image.
  - iv. From the "Classify" dropdown menu, select "Training images", and select "Create training image".

- v. From the popup menu, select "Region\*" as the Classification, type "50,000" px as Preferred image width, and toggle "Rectangles only", then click OK.
- vi. A training image will appear in the Project Image List dropdown menu.
- vii.Open the training image, and save the project.
- 7. To segment the training image into cells, StarDist is used. Using the rectangle annotation tool, select the entire region.
- 8. To segment the annotated region into cells, drag and drop the stardist\_segmentation\_0.5px.groovy file into the open QuPath window. When the script editor appears, select "Run".
  - i. Note: If an annotation is not selected, the error "Please select a parent object!" will appear.
- 9. A dialog box will appear, prompting the selection of the segmentation file. Choose the dsb2018\_heavy\_ augment.pb file located in the directory you created in Setup Step 1.
- 10.Once segmentation is complete, you will be able to see cell detections in red overlay on the image. You can toggle the visibility of the cell detections using the overlay capacity slider bar at the top of the image window.
- 11. To proceed with cell classification, from the "Classify" dropdown menu, select "Training images" and select "Create duplicate channel training images". From the popup window, select the markers that you wish to use to enable cell classification. Check the "Initialize Points annotations" box then select "OK". There will now be duplicate training images in the Project Image List dropdown menu for each marker in the staining panel. These duplicate channels will be used for manual annotation of cell phenotypes.
  - i. Note: cell classification should be done in a single duplicate training image for phenotypes that are characterized by mutually exclusive markers (i.e. lineage markers). For instance, if CD8 + T cells are classified as CD3 + CD8+, macrophages are classified as CD11b + F4/80+, and fibroblasts are classified as CD45-  $\alpha$ SMA+, they can be used in a classifier together.
  - ii. Note: in this project, we trained two classifiers to detect a total of 10 cell types. The first classifier was trained to detect CD8, FoxP3, CD31, F4/80, and CD11c. The second classifier was trained to detect CD4, CD19, MPO,  $\alpha$ SMA, and Ki67 + tumour cells.
- 12.Open the duplicate image for the first cell type(s) you wish to classify.
- 13.Open the points annotation tool, add an annotation, and right click to set the annotation class (ex. if you are classifying helper T cells, set the class to

CD4). Add a second annotation and set the class to "Ignore\*".

- i. Note: an "Ignore" class is necessary for cell classification. This cannot be skipped.
- 14. Using point annotation, annotate 30–60 cells of your class of interest, and annotate another 30–60 cells as "Ignore\*". The "Ignore\*" cells should be mutually exclusive from the cell you are classifying. For instance, if you are classifying CD4 + T cells, you could select CD8 + T cells, B cells, or tumour cells for the "Ignore\*" class. This helps train the classifier to better detect your cells of interest.
- 15.From the "Classify" dropdown menu, select "Train object classifier".
  - i. Set Object filter to "Cells".
  - ii. Set Classifier to "Artificial neural network (ANN\_MLP).
  - iii. Set Feature to "All measurements".
  - iv. Set Classes to "Selected classes".
  - v. Set Training to "Unlocked annotations".
- 16.Click "Live update". The cell mask on the training image should update to show where your cell phenotype has been detected.
- 17.Manually assess if the cell classifier is accurately detecting your cell phenotype of interest. If there are many false positive detections, continue to add annotations for "Ignore\*". If there are many false negative detections, continue to add annotations for your cell type of interest.
- 18.Once you are content with the cell classifier, enter the object classifier name, and click "Save".
- 19.Repeat Steps 12–18 for all cell phenotype classes you wish to annotate in your tissues.
- 20.Open the main image from the Project Image List.
- 21.Using the rectangle or polygon annotation tool, select the regions you wish to analyze. Following Steps 7–9, use StarDist to segment the annotation region into cells.
- 22. Classify cells into phenotypes by opening the "Classify" dropdown menu, selecting "Object classification", then selecting "Load object classification". Select the classifiers you wish to apply to the tissue, then select "Apply classifiers sequentially".
  - i. Note: If more than one classifier is used to detect cell types, there may be redundancy in classification (i.e., some cells will be annotated as more than one class). For instance, in this study, our first classifier detected FoxP3 + cells, and our second classifier detected CD4 + cells. Thus, when the classifiers were applied together, regulatory T cells were classified as FoxP3 + CD4+.
  - ii. Note: Due to cell segmentation noise, sometimes dual classifiers may not make biological sense. It is

up to the researcher to manually assess each cell class, and collapse classes as necessary.

- 23.Now, each cell will be annotated as a Phenotype. To export this data for spatial analysis with CytoMAP:
  - i. Save the QuPath project.
  - ii. From the "Measure" dropdown menu, select "Export Measurements."
  - iii. Select the image you wish to export measurements from, and choose "cells" as the export type. Change separator type to "Comma (.csv)".
  - iv. Click "Populate", then select the columns to include from the dropdown list: Image Name, Image, Class, Centroid X, Centroid Y, and Cell Mean for each marker. The resulting.csv file will contain the fluorescence intensity of each marker for each cell within the image, plus all cells will be annotated for their cellular phenotypes.

# CytoMAP spatial analysis

- 24.In MATLAB, install the CytoMAP plugin in the "Add-Ons" drop down menu.
  - i. For desktop use without MATLAB downloaded, an installer for the compiled version of CytoMAP is available at https://gitlab.com/gernerlab/ cytomap/-/tree/master/. Follow the installation prompts.
- 25.Open CytoMAP. From the "File" dropdown menu, select "Load Table of Cells", then select the.csv file generated in Step 23.
  - i. Be mindful of.csv formatting when uploading. CytoMAP may not recognize certain symbols, such as ampersands or slashes.
- 26.A popup dialog box will prompt you to select the X axis. Click "Ch\_Centroid\_X\_m" and click "Okay".
- 27.A popup dialog box will prompt you to select the Y axis. Click "Ch\_Centroid\_Y\_m" and click "Okay".
- 28.A popup dialog box will prompt you to select the Z axis. Click "There is no Z (make a fake one)" and click "Okay".
- 29.A "File Import Options" box will pop up. Select "Load".
- 30.Select "Annotate Clusters", and from the Select Classification Chanel dialog box, select "Ch\_Class". From the Annotate Class popup box, select "Save Annotations". Close the Save Annotations box.
- 31. To make a heatmap showing the cellular mean intensity of the markers in the staining panel within the different cell phenotypes, click the "Extensions" dropdown menu, and select "cell\_heatmaps.m".
  - i. Choose the cell phenotypes you wish to include.
  - ii. Choose the Channel MFIs you wish to include.
  - iii. Normalize per Sample.
  - iv. Select "MFI normalized to mean MFI of all cells".

v. Select "Phenotype" for what to compare. vi. Select "Individual Heatmap for each Sample". vii.Select "linear" for scale. viii. Click "Okay".

- i. Note: If multiple.csv files are imported and annotated, you may choose to generate a combined heatmap.
- 32. To cluster cells into neighborhoods, select "Define Neighborhoods".
  - i. Choose "Raster Scanned Neighborhood" for Neighborhood Type.
  - ii. Type "50" for Neighborhood Radius.
  - iii. Select "Fast Way".
  - iv. Click "Okay".
- 33.Once the loading bar for Defining Neighborhoods has finished, click "Cluster Neighborhoods into Regions".
  - i. Select all Phenotypes for sorting.
  - ii. Use setting "Composition: Number of Cells/ Number of Cells in Neighborhood".
  - iii. Use setting "MFI normalized to mean MFI per neighborhood" and Normalize per Sample.
  - iv. For Colour scheme, select "sum(y,2)".
  - v. For Number of Regions, select "Davies Bouldin (default)".
  - vi. For Model name, select "Create New Model".
  - vii.For Data Input Type, select "Raster Scanned Neighborhood".
  - viii. For Algorithm, select "NN Self Organizing Map". ix. Click "Okay".
  - x. Enter a unique name for the Model.
- 34. Two figures will popup, one showing the Number of Clusters and the Davies Bouldin values, and the other showing the newly defined regions superimposed on the tissue image.
  - i. Note: In tumour tissues, overall cellular disorganization leads to fewer definitive regions.
- 35. To generate a heatmap showing the spatial relationships between cells in the tissues, select "Cell-Cell Correlation".
  - i. Select the Phenotypes you wish to include.
  - ii. For Neighborhood Type, select your unique Model name.
  - iii. For data preparation, select "Cellularity: Number of Cells / Neighborhood".
  - iv. Normalize per Sample.
  - v. Select "Individual Heatmap for each Sample".
  - vi. For Colour Scale, select "linear".
  - vii.For Calculation, select "Pearson Correlation Coefficient".
  - viii. For Transform, select "None".
  - ix. For Confidence Interval, select "1".
- 36.CytoMAP can be used for other types of spatial analysis, and details can be found here:

https://cstoltzfus.com/posts/2021/06/CytoMAP%20 Demo/.

# Results

# Development of a tunable PhenoCycler antibody panel for staining murine FFPE tissue

Using the protocols described above, we selected 16 antibodies which could be used to phenotype most common cells found in the murine TME (Fig. 2A). Each of these antibodies were conjugated to Akoya PhenoCycler barcodes (Table 2) and were optimized for PhenoCycler staining. Each barcode has a complementary reporter conjugated to either ATTO550, AF647, or AF750, and barcodes were selected for each antibody with this in mind. In general, antibodies that showed very strong SNR were conjugated to barcodes with AF750-tagged complementary reporters, whereas antibodies that corresponded to antigens of lower abundance and lower expression were conjugated to barcodes with AF647-tagged complementary reporters, and antibodies that marked antigens of medium abundance and weak to medium SNR were conjugated to barcodes with ATTO550-tagged complementary reporters. We have noted the antibody clones which were used in this study in Table 2; however, we caution researchers to perform optimization staining on their tissues of interest, utilizing proper positive and negative controls, prior to proceeding to antibody conjugation for their own uses. With this staining panel, we were able to quantify tumour cells, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, myeloid cells (macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells) and lymphoid cells (helper T cells, cytotoxic T cells, regulatory T cells, and B cells) in the murine TME (Fig. 2B). We found that the highly multiplexed PhenoCycler staining had similar fidelity to singleplex IF stains for each antibody included in the staining panel (Supplemental Fig. 1). Furthermore, the protocols for analysis described above were used to examine how the spatial relationships between these cell types change across tumour models and experimental conditions.

# Generation of a multi-cancer TMA for PhenoCycler staining

Given that there is conservation amongst the cell types found in the TME across a number of tumour types [40], we generated a TMA with tumour cores banked from widely used pre-clinical mouse models of lymphoma, breast cancer, and melanoma, and matched normal tissues, with the goal of performing spatial phenotyping of the murine TME. To achieve this, archival FFPE tissue blocks were sectioned and stained with H&E and an anti-CD45 antibody to facilitate selection of immune-rich regions within the tumours for core-punching (Fig. 3A). From each tissue block, two to three 1 mm cores were included, for a total of 84 cores (Fig. 3B).

Δ			R		0.00		
	Antibody	Cell Type		asivia	CD3 cro	CD4	CD8
	αSMA	Fibroblast		A. The		1980	A BARA
	CD3	General T Cell		-1	and one of	0 0 0	
	CD4	Helper T Cell					
	CD8	Cytotoxic T Cell		CD11b	CD11c	CD19	CD31
	CD11b	Myeloid Cell		El Co	0	in Shart	
	CD11c	Dendritic Cell		P Barrow Contraction	S		
	CD19	B Cell					
	CD31	Endothelial Cell		CD45	c-Myc	F4/80	FoxP3
	CD45	Hematopoeitc Cell		50000		A PARA	
	с-Мус	Tumor Cell		the to a b			
	F4/80	Macrophage		405465666			
	FoxP3	Regulatory T Cell		Ki67 🚺 👯	MelanA	MPO	NaKATPase
	Ki67	Tumor Cell				e 24	
	MelanA	Tumor Cell				4.7	WELLAND ST
	MPO	Neutrophil			A		
	NaK-ATPase	Membrane Marker					
				Lymph Noc	te/ Lymphoma	Breast Cancer	Melanoma

**Fig. 2** 16-plex PhenoCycler staining of murine FFPE tissues. **(A)** Table showing the antibodies included in our Murine FFPE PhenoCycler staining panel, and the cell type they are used to identify. **(B)** Images showing successful PhenoCycler staining of each antibody in the staining panel. In each image, DAPI is blue, and each individual marker is white. The colour of the outer border indicates the tissue type in the image. Scale bar is 50 μM

Table 2         Primary antibody table					
Antibody	Company	Clone	Catalog No.	Barcode	Reporter
aSMA	Abcam	1A4	ab240654	BX014	RX014-ATTO550
CD3	Abcam	CD3-12	ab255972	BX017	RX017-ATT0550
CD4	Invitrogen	4SM95	14-9766-82	BX002	RX002-ATTO550
CD8	Invitrogen	4SM15	14-0808-82	BX005	RX005-ATTO550
CD11b	Abcam	EPR1344	ab209970	BX003	RX003-AF647
CD11c	Cell Signaling	D1V9Y	39143SF	BX015	RX015-AF647
CD19	Cell Signaling	D4V4B	86916SF	BX027	RX027-AF647
CD31	Dianova	SZ31	DIA-310-BA-2	BX026	RX026-ATT0550
CD45	R&D Systems	Polyclonal	AF114-SP	BX007	RX007-AF750
с-Мус	Abcam	Y69	ab168727	BX001	RX001-AF750
F4/80	Cell Signaling	D2S9R	25514SF	BX020	RX020-ATTO550
FoxP3	Cell Signaling	D608R	72338SF	BX019	RX019-AF750
Ki67	Akoya Biosciences	B56	PN 232,179	BX047	RX047-ATTO550
MelanA	Abcam	EPR20380	ab222483	BX004	RX004-AF750
MPO	R&D Systems	Polyclonal	AF3667-SP	BX013	RX013-AF750
NaK-ATPase	Abcam	EP1845Y	ab167390	BX023	RX023-ATTO550

For the lymphoma portion of the TMA, cores from A20 and  $E\mu$ -Myc tumours were included. A20 is a commonly used mouse model of B Cell Non-Hodg-kin's Lymphoma (B-NHL), syngeneic to BALB/C mice [41]. Upon tail vein injection, A20 cells will home to the liver to form an aggressive extranodal lymphoma, and samples from day-27 post-A20 tail vein injection were included in the TMA, with matched adjacent

non-tumour bearing liver tissue (i.e., tissue from a nontumour bearing liver lobe). E $\mu$ -Myc is a B-NHL model syngeneic to C57BL/6J mice, which forms tumours primarily in the spleen and cervical and inguinal lymph nodes. Samples from the lymph nodes of non-tumour bearing mice and from the cervical lymph nodes of mice at day-14 post-E $\mu$ -Myc injection were included in the TMA.

# Table 3 Reagents and tools table

Egentrational ModelsExperimental ModelsSTRUMCharlos NiverStatu #013500STRUMThe Jackon LaboratoryStatu #013500ADArtCTB-2M8LabordLaboratoryStatu #013500ADArtCTB-2M8LabordLaboratory PolicitoryN/ALabordLaboratory PolicitoryN/AATArtCCR2391VIMMERI 7Laboratory PolicitoryN/AATArtCCR2391VIMMERI 7LaboratoryN/ADispandintermentsStatu PolicitoryN/AArtigo ControlLaboratoryN/AStatu ResponseN/AStatu PolicitoryAphronoutermentsStatu PolicitoryN/AAphronoutermentsStatu PolicitoryN/AStatu ResponseBio StatuDis StatuAphronoutermentsBio StatuDis StatuStatu Robored Bio ResponseDis StatuDis StatuStatu Robored Bio Robo	Reagent or Resource	Reference or Source	Identifier or Catalog No.
BALBC NiceCharles NiverMethodBALBC NiceThe Jackson JaboratoryStrain A0000504TyreCaCLR/BRAF <sup>AA</sup> /Piter/ <sup>Naker</sup> MiceThe Jackson JaboratoryStrain A0000504A20Lab drD. Insy PallatierN/AA20Lab drD. Jasie Unins'EggedN/A66L6Lab drD. Insy PallatierN/A66L7AttorLab drD. Jasie Unins'EggedN/A700 gand TaxtamabLab drD. Marcus BosonbergCRI-3539VUMMEDL /Dogs and TaxtamabHU710 gand TaxtamabBio X Cell243, BOOSPgal CaronalBio X Cell243, BOOSPgal CaronalBio BasicBIO15200 gand TaxtamabBio BasicBIO15Christicat and RegensBio BasicBIO15201 Gand Hidendow 10 NoSigma-AddrichBIO14247-1201 Gand Hidendow 10 NoWith CellBIO14247-1201 Gand Hidendow 10 NoSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand Hidendow 10 NoWith CellSigma-Addrich201 Gand Hidendow 10 NoWith CellSigma-Addrich201 Gand Hidendow 10 NoSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand Beapers and TooleSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand BeapersGandrichSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand BeapersGandrichSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand BeapersGandrichSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand BeapersGandrichSigma-AddrichSigma-Addrich201 Gand BeapersGandrich <td< td=""><td>Experimental Models</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Experimental Models		
CSPBLVBThe Jackon LaboratorySerial in 4000644CSPBLVBThe Jackon LaboratorySinal in 613509AD0ATCCTH9-06Ep MycLab of Dr. Jarry PalletierNAEp MycLab of Dr. Jarry PalletierNAAT1Lab of Dr. Jarry PalletierNAAT1Lab of Dr. Jarry PalletierNAAT1Lab of Dr. Jarry PalletierNAAT1Lab of Dr. Jarry PalletierNAAT1NANAAT1NANADrug and PactornesNALight ControlBio ScientersNALight ControlBio ScientersNAAD2Bio BasicBio ScientersAD4Bio BasicBio ScientersSolum Hydroxde 10 NBio BasicBio ScientersSolum Hydroxde 10 NBio BasicBio ScientersSolum Hydroxde 10 NBio BasicBio ScientersSolum Hydroxde 10 NRettorn Microscroy ScienceScienceSolum Hydroxde 10 NScienceScienceSolum Hydroxde 10 NRettorn Microscroy ScienceScienceSolum Hydroxde 10 NScienceScienceSolum Hydroxde 10 NScienceScienceSo	BALB/C Mice	Charles River	BALB/cAnNCrl
Tyr.CetSBagA <sup>DA</sup> , PhenStant 001390Tyr.CetSBagA <sup>DA</sup> , PhenNAADOACCNAEp.MycLab of Dr.Jery PelletierN/AAddALb of Dr.Jery PelletierNAAddALb of Dr.Jese Unini SegalNAAdtALb of Dr. Marcus BosonbergNAVIMMEN./Durg and TeamensNAVIMMEN./Box CellNABox CorlBox CellNABox CorlBox CellNAAdt-IBox CellNAControlBox BasicSigna AdrichControlBox BasicSigna AdrichControlBox BasicSigna AdrichSodum OfliniceBox BasicSigna AdrichSodum OfliniceBox BasicSigna AdrichSodum OfliniceSigna AdrichSigna AdrichSodum OfliniceSigna AdrichSigna AdrichSodum OfliniceSigna AdrichSigna Signa Signa SignaSodum OfliniceSigna AdrichSigna Signa	C57BL/6J Mice	The Jackson Laboratory	Strain #:000664
ÀQ0ATCCTID-03Ex-MycLab of DL Jose Unin's SiegetN/AEx-MycLab of DL Jose Unin's SiegetN/A4T1ATCCCurl 253-97VIMMEID.7Lab of DL Marcus BosonbergN/ADurg and PeatmentsN/AN/AAttydroxytamoxifenBio X CellN/ASig ControlBio X CellN/ASig ControlBio Sig CellSig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig Sig	<i>Tyr::CreER/BRaf<sup>CA/+</sup>/Pten<sup>lox/lox</sup></i> Mice	The Jackson Laboratory	Strain #:013590
Fµ-MycLab of Dr. Josie Ursin-SiegelN/A66CHLab of Dr. Josie Ursin-SiegelRA66CHLab of Dr. Josie Ursin-SiegelN/ACMRLab of Dr. Marcus RosenbergN/ADurg and TrastmentsSigma-AlkrichA4-HydrospannoxinenSigma-AlkrichA6G ControlRo Cell28.8 B0989aPD-1Bio X Cell28.8 B0989aPD-1Bio X Cell80.8 SigChemicals and ReagentsBio RasicB0185Chemicals and ReagentsBio RasicB0185Chemicals and ReagentsBio RasicB0185Chemicals and ReagentsBio RasicB0185Collinn ChorideBio RasicB0182Sodium ChorideBio RasicB01472-11Sodium Hydroxide 10 NSigma-Aldrich519013-500MLParaformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich519013-500MLParaformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich519013-500MLParaformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich710116Paraformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich810110116Paraformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich810110116Paraformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich81110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch9162ANNParaformaldehyde 16%Sigma-Aldrich81110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch81173Paraford ReagentKatarAldrichChattarcus legis, Honsearchin peroxidase Iniked whole antibody from sheeCythaChattarcus legis, Honsearchin peroxidase Iniked whole	A20	ATCC	TIB-208
śći diLab OF D, Josei Lybin-SiegelN/AATCATCCR J-23-91VIMMER17Lab OF. Marcus BosenbergN/AUNMER17Lab of Dr. Marcus BosenbergN/AUnga diff ReimentsSigma-Aldrich16278HydracystancostanBio X CellRMP1-14, BE01460Lipi ControlBio X CellRMP1-14, BE01461ControlBio X CellRMP1-14, BE01461ControlBio SaicB0185Sodium Hydroide 10 NBio SaicB0185Sodium Hydroide 10 NWRB07727-11Sodium Hydroide 10 NWRB07727-11Paraformaldetyde 10%Sigma-Aldrich15813-30000TweespoWWRB0772-12TweespoWWRB0772-14TweespoWWRS44Sodium Hydroide 10 NSigma-AldrichS1613-30000TweespoWWRB0772-14TweespoWWRB0772-14TweespoWWRS44TweespoWWRS44TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoWWRS44TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-AldrichS1016TweespoSigma-Aldr	Еµ-Мус	Lab of Dr. Jerry Pelletier	N/A
4T1ATCCCRI-2339YUMMERI-7Lab of Dr. Marcus BasenbergN/AYUMMERI-7Lab of Dr. Marcus BasenbergN/APUDMERI-7Sigma-AldrichH6278Leg controlBio X CellXA BFORSPaPD-1Bio X CellXA BFORSPaPD-1Bio X CellRMP1-14, BE0146Chemicals and RegentsBio BasicBE0185Chemicals and RegentsBio BasicBE0185EDTABio BasicSE0187Sodum ChlorideBio BasicSE0147Sodum ChlorideSigma-AldrichSE0147ParaformatchylinSigma-AldrichSE0147Newe200WorkeSigma-AldrichSE0148Hydrophobic Barrier RP PenSigma-AldrichSE0148Harris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichSE0148Harris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichSE0148Konkey Chloride Albertandik peroxidase Inkled whole antibody from sheepSigma-AldrichNewe2004 SchurbodySigma-AldrichSE0148Harris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichSE0148Harris Hematoxylin <t< td=""><td>66cl4</td><td>Lab of Dr. Josie Ursini-Siegel</td><td>N/A</td></t<>	66cl4	Lab of Dr. Josie Ursini-Siegel	N/A
YUMBE17Lab of Dr. Marcus BosenbergN/ADrags and Treatments	4T1	ATCC	CRL-2539
Drags are internetsUnitable4-HydracytanoxifenBio X CellHe3784-HydracytanoxifenBio X CellA3, Bf0089aFD-1Bio X CellRef 14, BE0146Chemicula and ReagentsEnternetEnternetThisBio BasicBe0195Chemicula and ReagentsBio BasicBe0195Chemicula and ReagentsBio BasicBe0195Chemicula and ReagentsBio BasicBe0400Sodium ChiorideBio BasicBe0476Petassium ChiorideBio BasicBe0400Sodium Hydroxide 10 NWRBDH7247-11Sodium Hydroxide 10 NBio BasicBioBasicSodium Hydroxide 10 NBio BasicBioBasicSodium Hydroxide 10 NBioBasicSiGBI-SOMULHydroxide 10 NBioBasicSiGBI-SOMULHydroxide 10 NBioBasicSiGBI-SOMULHydroxide 10 NSiGBI-SOMULSiGBI-SOMULHydroxide 11 Hydroxide 11 Hydroxide 11 Hydroxide 11 Hydroxide	YUMMER1.7	Lab of Dr. Marcus Bosenberg	N/A
A+bgrowytamoxifenSigma-AldrichH62/8Igd ControlBio X Cell2A3, E6089BCD-1Bio X Cell2A3, E6089Cherricks and ReagentsEETrisBio BasicB0195Softium ChlorideBio BasicB0183Softium ChlorideBio BasicS804/0Softium Hydroxide 10 NUWRBDH7247-1Softium Hydroxide 10 NSigma-AldrichSigma-AldrichSoftium Hydroxide 10 NSigma-AldrichSigma-AldrichVeraformaldehyde 10%Sigma-AldrichSigma-NatichTween20WWROmmercial AlcoholsSigma-SigmaSuperficis TubusidesFisherSigma-SigmaSuperficis TubusidesSigma-AldrichSigma-SigmaHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenSigma-AldrichSigma-SigmaHarris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichSigma-SigmaDonkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearchNa931VCharlier Superficis TubusidesSigma-SigmaSigma-SigmaDish SolutionMaterSigma-SigmaSigma-SigmaDish SolutionSigma-SigmaSigma-SigmaSigma-SigmaDish SolutionSigma-AldrichSigma-SigmaSigma-SigmaDish Soluti	Drugs and Treatments		
IgG ControlBio X Cell2A3 E0089aPD-1Bio X CellRMP1-14, BED146aPD-1Bio X CellRMP1-14, BED146aPD-1Bio X CellRMP1-14, BED146ThisBio BasicT0195Stoftum AlfondinaBio BasicS00185Sodium AlfondinaBio BasicS00476Sodium Mydroxide 10 NWRS00440Sodium Mydroxide 10 NSigma-AddrichS16313-500MLSodium Mydroxide 10 NSigma-AddrichS16313-500MLSodium Mydroxide 10 NSigma-AddrichS16313-500MLSodium Mydroxide 10 NSigma-AddrichS12-027-246Wreen20WRCommercial AlcoholsS12-027-246XylenesFisherX-220-27-246KylenesFisherX-4X-4KylenesFisherX-4X-4KylenesSigma-AddrichS177RumoHarris HeatoxylinSigma-AddrichS177X-4Harris HeatoxylinSigma-AddrichS177X-4Lett London Social Stafe KR PenAscon ImmunoResearch017-00-121Harris HeatoxylinSigma-AddrichNa31VX-4Colky BragentKaton ImmunoResearch017-00-121Colky BragentKaton ImmunoResearch017-00-121Colky BragentKaton ImmunoResearch017-00-121Colky BragentKaton ImmunoResearch017-00-121Colky BragentKaton ImmunoResearch017-00-121Colky BragentKaton ImmunoResearch017-00-121Colky Bragen	4-Hydroxytamoxifen	Sigma-Aldrich	H6278
aD-1Bio XCellRMP1-14, BED149Chemicals and ReagentsNoChemicals and ReagentsBio BasicBio BasicEDTABio BasicBio BasicEDTABio BasicBio BasicEDTABio BasicBio BasicEDTABio BasicBio BasicParastium ChlorideBio BasicBio BasicSodium Phydroxide 10 NWRBIOT247-1Sodium ChlorideBio BasicBio BasicParaformaldehyde 16%Bioran-Adrich Commercial AlcoholsBioran-AdrichKenaul F Reagents and ToolsCommercial AlcoholsNO77-1 LWeen 20WomCommercial AlcoholsNO77-1 LKenaul F Reagents and ToolsCommercial AlcoholsNO77-1 LWeen 20WomCommercial AlcoholsNO16AANWydenscher PhenGommercial AlcoholsNO16AANHydrospheik Barier PAP PenGoma-AdrichBioraLoini Y SolutionGoma-AdrichBioraDonky GerumJackson ImmunoResearchNo17-00-121CE Bocking ReagentKerumAdrichLoing AlcoholsNo1400No1900Lonk Ab Co Got Gird (HP Opheme)NotrogenAdrichDark AlcoholsNotrogenAdrichLonk Ab Co Got Gird (HP Opheme)NotrogenAdrichLonk Ab Co Got Gird (HP Opheme)Notrogen<	IgG Control	Bio X Cell	2A3, BE0089
Chemicals and ReagentsTrisBio BasicBio BasicEDTABio BasicBio BasicSodium HydrokalBio BasicBio BasicSodium Hydrokale 10NBio BasicBio BasicSodium Hydrokale 10NWRBio BasicParaformaldehyde 16%Sigma-AdrichSigma-AdrichWreenz0WRWR20437-24Veenz0KillSigma-AdrichSigma-AdrichHydrophobic Barrie PAP PenFisherX5.4EthanolCommercial AlcoholsPoliEANNHydrophobic Barrie PAP PenSigma-AdrichBio BasicSolutionSigma-AdrichHT11016Dankey SerumBiosan HumonResearchHT11016Dankey SerumAbcarnAbcarnAp148CharlematoginRMD SystemsSigma-AdrichSigma-AdrichDankey SerumAbcarnAbcarnAp148Dankey SerumAbcarnAbcarnAp148Dankey SerumRMD SystemsSigma-AdrichSigma-AdrichParket Dobas Jubitake Rith Peroxidase linked whole antibody from SheeMade in humonResearchNa917Parket Dobas Jubitake Rith, PeroxidaseNa910Sigma-AdrichSigma-AdrichParket Dobas Jubitake Rith, PeroxidaseNa910Sigma-AdrichSigma-AdrichDankey Attisot BiotakeNa910Na9	aPD-1	Bio X Cell	RMP1-14, BE0146
TrisBio BasicT80195EDTABio BasicED0148EDTABio BasicED0148Potassium ChlorideBio BasicB0440Sodium ChlorideBio BasicB0440Sodium ChlorideBio BasicB04727-1Sodium ChlorideSigma-AldrichB16813-S00MLSodium ChlorideSigma-AldrichS16813-S00MLParafornaldehyde 16%Electron Microscopy Sciences15/10Wenz0WWR07-11Wic Carl F Reagens and ToolsElectron Microscopy Sciences15/10Wenz0Commercial AlcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenThermo Scientific83777Harris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichB1710116Dankey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121Ch Anti-mouse IgG, Horsendish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheeGytavN4931VMouse CD45 AntibodyRAD SystemsAF114Drik Substrate Ki, PeroxidaseInvitrogenA01733Ch Alf Conkey anti-rabibilgGInvitrogenA1573Donkey anti-rabibilgGInvitrogenA21487Darko Scientific192485A2447Af47 donkey anti-rabibilgGInvitrogen42483Portocycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingInvitrogen452452Hortocycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingInvitrogen452452Hortocycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7000,008YAST MIN 15 Thicknes Cover SlipsBio-Rad11425-CLHortocycler BufferAkoya Bi	Chemicals and Reagents		
EDTABio BasicEB0185Sodium Hydroxide 10 NordeBio BasicBio BasicPotassium ChlorideBio BasicBio BasicSodium Hydroxide 10 NWRBi/T247-1Solium Hydroxide 10 NSigma-AdrichSifelia SoOMLSolie Hydroxide 10 NSigma-AdrichSifelia SoOMLParaformaldehyde 16%Bettron Nicroscopy Science15,710Tween20WR0777-1 LWeen20WR0777-1 LSoperfrost Plus SildesFisher2037-246KylenesFisher2037-246Uhydropholic Barrier PAP PenFisherSigma-AdrichHarris HematoxylinSigma-AdrichBi770Darkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-200-210Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch107-200-210Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch107-200-210Contergo Ed, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheeRd0 SystemMouse CD45 AntibodyRd0 System107-200-210CH Schutig ReagentRd0 SystemN4931VCH Schutig Rego Hydroxidase Linked whole antibody from SheeRd0 SystemCH Schutig ReagentRd0 SystemN4931VCH Schutig Reprovidase Linked whole antibody from SheeRd0 SystemCH Schutig Responsed Shrate Kit, PeroxidaseNettogenNa931VCH Schutig Reprovidase Linked whole antibody from SheeRd0 SystemSheeCH Schutig Reprovidase Linked whole antibody from SheeRd0 SystemSheeCH Schutig Reprovidase Linked SheeNa031VShee	Tris	Bio Basic	TB0195
Sodium ChiorideBio BasicS80476Potassium ChiorideBio Basic80H40Potassium ChiorideNWR80H727-11S0% HyO,Sigma-AldrichS16813-500MLParaformaldehyde 10%WR0777-11Wreen20WWR0777-11If Reagents and ToolsWreen200777-11Wreen20WWR0777-11Superforst Plus SlidesFisher2037-246SylenesFisherX54EshandCommercial AlcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barlier PAP PenThermo Scientific638 A-85Fishir ShematoxylinSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Doinsby SerumJackson ImmunoResearch11710116Doinsby SerumJackson ImmunoResearch17-000-121Charlier Map Polymer)AbcarnAb214881Mouse CD45 AntibodyRoS SystemsSK-1029Mouse CD45 AntibodyKetor LaboratoriesSK-1105Charlier Map Polymer)AbcarnAb214881Danky path Fabit LigG Dylight 650InvitrogenA21447DAF47 donky anti-rabbit LigGInvitrogenA21447DAF47 donky anti-rabbit LigGInvitrogenA21487Pieros ScientificSigma-RidrichSigma-RidrichDarlie Artibody Conjugation and Tissue StatiningInvitrogenA21487Clicode Blue Stain ReagentInvitrogenA21447DAF47 donky anti-rabbit LigG Dylight 650MirenA16073CDUPieros ScientificSigma-RidrichSigma-RidrichDarlie Artibody Conjugation and Tiss	EDTA	Bio Basic	EB0185
Patasium ChlorideBio BasicPB0440Sodium Hydroxide 10 NWRDM7247-1Solik HyO2Sigma-AldrichSigma-AldrichSigma-AldrichSigma-AldrichElectron Microscopy Sciences15,710Tween20WWR0777-1 L <i>HC and IF Reagents and Tools</i> Fisher22:037-246Superfrost Publis SildesFisher22:037-246KylenesFisher22:037-246EthanolCommercial AlcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barier PAP PenThermo Scientfic8377Harris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichG38 A-85Essin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich1110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121FC Bocking ReagentMade in house12FC Bocking ReagentSubstrate Kit, Peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaN4931VMouse CD45 AntibodyMotorAb214881Ab173Donkey serumAb21481MicrogenAb173Donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenAb173Donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA21447DARV In MyRAMInvitrogenA21447DARV In MyRAMInvitrogenA21447 <trr>DARV In MyRAMInvitrogen&lt;</trr>	Sodium Chloride	Bio Basic	SB0476
Sodium Hydroxide 10 NDVHBDH/247-1S0% HyOgSigma-AldrichS16813-S00MLParaformaldehyde 16%Electron Microscopy Sciences15,710Tween20WR0777-1 LH/C and IF Reagents and ToolssterSuperFrost Plus SlidesFisher22-037-246SylenesFisherX-54EthanolCommercial AlcoholsP016EANHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich017-000-121PC Blocking ReagentMade in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD4S AntibodyNA931VAcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseInvitrogenA1147AF647 donkey anti-abtil IgGInvitrogenA1447DAR1 (In gr/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogenA1447Edelcode Blue Stain ReagentInvitrogen41447ASS Mitholy Conjugation and Tissue StainingEprelia21487Edelcode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific42,550Ix PPBSWeinetAlconald AlcoholsP016EOHIx DPBSScientific42,55025,50Ix DPBSGould AlcoholsP016EOH24,550Ix DPBSScientific42,55025,50Ix DPBSMore Scientific42,550 </td <td>Potassium Chloride</td> <td>Bio Basic</td> <td>PB0440</td>	Potassium Chloride	Bio Basic	PB0440
Sym AlocitSigm - Aldrich516813-500MLParaformaldehyde 16%Electron Microscopy Sciences15,710Tween20VWR0777-1 LIf Card IF Reagents and ToolsSigma - Marcines22-037-246Superfrost Plus SlidesFisher22-037-246Superfrost Plus SlidesFisher22-037-246StylenesEshanolCommercial AlcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenThermo ScientificR3777Harris HematoxylinSigma - AldrichHT110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121Coking ReagentMade in houseTECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD4S AntibodyRaD SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-fabit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-fabit IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Elouromourt-GInvitrogen42455Elouromourt-GInvitrogen44590Xi Shara StatiningEinerdia11-425-CLAfer J donkey anti-fabit IgGWisent311-425-CLDAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific24,590Ciccle Blue Stain ReagentKinoMisentAfer J donkey anti-fabit IgGWisent311-425-CLDarmoli (aba Cyter StainingEinereit Alcoholos9106MCHHormol	Sodium Hydroxide 10 N	VWR	BDH7247-1
Paraformaldehyde 16%Electron Microscopy Sciences15,710Tween20WWR0777-1 L <i>IHC and IF Reagents and Tools</i> Superfrost Plus SlidesFisher2-037-246XylenesFisherX5-4EthanolCommercial AcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich8377CE Blocking ReagentMade in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytvaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Donk y Solutian (Equipmer)AbcarnAb214881ImmMACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-fabit IgGInvitrogenA51029AF647 donkey anti-fabit IgGInvitrogenA21447Donk y Anti-fabit IgGInvitrogen42455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingEureria11-425-CLLammel Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentCommercial Alcohols10160737EDUAktopa ReagentsCommercial Alcohols10160737EDUAktopa ReagentsCommercial Alcohols7000,008IX D-PPSMichaet Akoya Biosciences7,000,009Aktopa ReagentsAkoya Biosciences7,000,009IX D-PSMichaet Akoya Biosciences7,000,009Akasw Rea	50% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Sigma-Aldrich	516813-500MI
Tween20WWR0777-1 L <i>H/C and LP Reagents and Tools</i> SuperFrost Plus SlidesFisher22-037-246SlyperFrost Plus SlidesFisherX5-4EthanolCommercial AlcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenThermo Scientific83777Harris' HematoxylinSigma-AldrichHT110116Donkey SerumSigma-AldrichHT110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121ECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horsendish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheeCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dinkey Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseInvitrogenAb214881ImmPACT DA8 Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-fabit Ig GInvitrogenA21447DAP(1 (mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62248Flouromourt-GInvitrogen04958-02AF647 donkey anti-fabit Ig GInvitrogen04958-02AF647 donkey anti-fabit Ig GInvitrogen04958-02AF647 donkey anti-fabit Ig GInvitrogen152,455Flouromourt-GInvitrogen1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,550Vicer Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StatiningInvitrogen1142-CLHernoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StatiningInvitrogen1142-CLHernoCycler Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,550Vicer Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StatiningInvitrogen1142-CLHernoCycler Buffer <td>Paraformaldehyde 16%</td> <td>Electron Microscopy Sciences</td> <td>15.710</td>	Paraformaldehyde 16%	Electron Microscopy Sciences	15.710
Kandl F Reagents and ToolsSuperFrost Plus SlidesFisher22-037-246XylenesFisherX5-4EhhanolCommercial AlcoholsPD16EAANHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenThermo Scientific83777Harris' HematoxylinSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121FC Blocking ReagentMade in houseKIECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Made in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105Af647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA21487Donkey anti-goat IgGInvitrogenA21447DAF04 took grant-goat IgGInvitrogenA21447DAP1 (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogenA21447Lammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,550IX D-P8SWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP16MECHAkoya Biosciences7,000,0087,000,008Akoya Biosciences7,000,0087,000,009Biahading KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Akoya Biosciences7,000,009Biak-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Tween20	VWR	0777-1
Superfrost Plus Sildes2:0:37-246XylenesFisherX:5-4KylenesFisherX:5-4EthanolCommercial AlcoholsP016EANNHydrophobic Barrier PAP PenThermo ScientificR3777Harris HematoxylinSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Eosin Y SolutionJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121PC Blocking ReagentQytivaNA931VECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DA8 Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseInvitrogen31573Donkey anti-Rabit IgGInvitrogenSA5-10029AF647 donkey anti-abbit IgGInvitrogenA51447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromourt-GInvitrogen32,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingEverta11-425-CLLammel Loading DyeGommercial Alcohols916ECHGelCode Blue Stain ReagentXivay Biosciences7,000,008Akoya ReagentsInversoScientific31-425-CLMethanolAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Akoya Biosciences7,000,008Akoya Biosciences7,000,006Akoya Biosciences7,000,006Akoya Biosciences7,000,007Akoya BiosciencesAyou,007Akoya Biosciences7,000,007	IHC and IF Reagents and Tools		
And and a sectorFactorAS-4BitherXS-4EthanolCommercial AlcoholsP016EAANHydrophobic Barrie PAP PenThermo ScientificR3777Harris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichHT110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121CE Locking ReagentMade in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepÇtivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyAbCamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabib IgGInvitrogenA5-1029Donkey anti-rabib IgGInvitrogenA5-1029Donkey anti-Rat IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenA21447DAPI (I mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62248Flouromount-GInvitrogen152455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingInvitrogen110137EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentKava Biosciences7,000,008Akya RosciencesThermo Scientific24,590ID PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingInternos Scientific24,590ID PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Akya ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Akoya ReagentsAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Akoya Biosciences7,000,009Biack-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	SuperFrost Plus Slides	Fisher	22-037-246
Process         Process         Process           Ethanol         Commercial Alcohols         P016EAAN           Hydrophobic Barrier PAP Pen         Thermo Scientific         R3777           Harris' Hematoxylin         Sigma-Aldrich         638 A-85           Eosin Y Solution         Sigma-Aldrich         H310116           Donkey Serum         Jackson ImmunoResearch         017-000-121           FC Blocking Reagent         Made in house         FC           ECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheep         Cytiva         N4931V           Mouse CD45 Antibody         RAD Systems         AF114           Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)         Abcan         Ab214881           ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, Peroxidase         Invitrogen         A31573           Donkey anti-Rab IgG DyLight 650         Invitrogen         A11447           DAPI (1 mg/mL)         Thermo Scientific         62,248           Elouromount-G         Invitrogen         04958-02           24 × 55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover Slips         Epredia         152,455           PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue Staining         #160737EDU         Gommercial Alcohols         P016MEOH           Commercial Alcohols         P016MEOH         Commercial Alcohols <td< td=""><td>Xvlenes</td><td>Fisher</td><td>X5-4</td></td<>	Xvlenes	Fisher	X5-4
RandomDerived ScientificR3777Harris'HematoxylinSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Easin'Y SolutionSigma-Aldrich638 A-85Donkey SerumSigma-AldrichMarceC Blocking ReagentMade in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DA8 Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-Rat IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Elouromourt-GInvitrogen094958-0224 × 55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia11410737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentCommercial Alcohols91610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentSigma-Aldisciences7.000,001Akoya Biosciences7.000,001Staining Kit114-425-CLMethanolAkoya Biosciences7.000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7.000,009Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7.000,009Akoya Biosciences7.000,009Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7.000,009Acheve foilAkoya Biosciences7.000,009Altese HoreAkoya Biosciences7.000,009Altese HoreAltese HoreAkoya Biosciences7.000,009Altese HoreAltese HoreAkoya Biosciences7.0	Ethanol	Commercial Alcohols	P016FAAN
Numprotest constructionNumber ConstructionNumprotest constructionNumprotest constructionHarris HematoxylinSigma-AldrichG8 A-85Eosin Y SolutionJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121EC L Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcarnAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-faabit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-faabit IgGInvitrogenA21447DAP(1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsBio-RadH1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5501X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolKoya Biosciences7,000,001Akoya ReagentsInvitrogen7,000,0031X D-PBSAkoya Biosciences7,000,003Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,003Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhery Biosciences7,000,007Adaeute FoilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Staining KitSevent Barcet7,000,007Adaeute FoilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Staining KitSevent Barcet7,000,007Adaeute FoilAkoya Biosciences7,00	Hydrophobic Barrier PAP Pen	Thermo Scientific	R3777
Name Board NationSigma-AldrichHT110116Donkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121FC Blocking ReagentMade in houseEECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (I mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromout-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mN No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEprediaInvitrogenBerodycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingUrsent24,550Lammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Akaya ReagentsInvitrogen7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Akawalled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assav ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Harris' Hematoxylin	Sigma-Aldrich	638 A-85
BoarderBoarderBoarderDonkey SerumJackson ImmunoResearch017-000-121FC Blocking ReagentMade in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabibit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-rabibit IgGInvitrogenA21447DAP(II mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEprediaThermo ScientificPhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,559XN D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolAboya Biosciences7,000,001Akoya ReagentsTUX PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Fosin Y Solution	Sigma-Aldrich	HT110116
Bandon MarchaeMade in houseEC Blocking ReagentMade in houseECL Anti-mouse IgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepCytivaNA931VMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-Rat IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenA21447DAP(1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen0-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingE1161737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP106MEUHAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,003Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Donkey Serum	lackson ImmunoBesearch	017-000-121
Click Anti-mouse lgG, Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheepKace metabolicMouse CD45 AntibodyR&D SystemsAF114Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA5-10029AF647 donkey anti-goat IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen04-958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingIntermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsIntermo Sciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Akasu ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	EC Blocking Reagent	Made in house	017 000 121
Radiation between provided and control of which all of the provided and control of which all of the provided and control of the pro	FCL Anti-mouse IoG Horseradish peroxidase linked whole antibody from sheep	Cytiva	NA931V
Drik pack to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)AbcamAb214881ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-rabbit IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flourmount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsBio-Rad#1610737EDUBelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,590IX D-PBSBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,590IX D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsYo00,001Staining KitStaining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,003Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000	Mouse CD45 Antibody	B&D Systems	AF114
ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit, PeroxidaseVector LaboratoriesSK-4105AF647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-rabbit IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenSA5-10029AF647 donkey anti-goat IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224 x 55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingInvitrogen41610737EDUCelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Aksay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Dnk pAb to Goat IgG (HRP polymer)	Abcam	Ab214881
AF647 donkey anti-rabbit IgGInvitrogenA31573Donkey anti-rabbit IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenSA5-10029AF647 donkey anti-goat IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224 × 55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue Staining11610737EDU11610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya Reagents10X PhenoCycler Suffer7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Akoya Biosciences7,000,006Akoya Biosciences7,000,007Akosa ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	ImmPACT DAB Substrate Kit Peroxidase	Vector Laboratories	SK-4105
Notion Guiney anti-Basing GroupNotion Guiney anti-Basing GroupNotion Guiney anti-Basing GroupDonkey anti-Rat IgG DyLight 650InvitrogenSA5-10029AF647 donkey anti-goat IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingEpredia152,455Lammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP06MEOHAkoya ReagentsT7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assav ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	AF647 donkey anti-rabbit loG	Invitrogen	A31573
AF647 donkey anti-goal IgGInvitogenA21447AF647 donkey anti-goal IgGInvitrogenA21447DAPI (1 mg/mL)Thermo Scientific62,248Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingEpredia152,455Lammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsVisent311-425-CL10X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,003Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Donkey anti-Rat IgG Dyl ight 650	Invitrogen	SA5-10029
Note Source for goal of the goal of th	AF647 donkey anti-goat IgG	Invitrogen	A21447
Flouromount-GInvitrogen00-4958-0224×55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingE152,455Lammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsTT10X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	DAPI (1 mg/ml.)	Thermo Scientific	62 248
24 × 55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover SlipsEpredia152,455PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingEineration ScienceEineration ScienceLammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsT100,00110X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Asay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Flouromount-G	Invitrogen	00-4958-02
PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue StainingLammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsT10X PhenoCycler Buffer7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Asay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	24 x 55 mm No. 1.5 Thickness Cover Slips	Epredia	152.455
Lammeli Loading DyeBio-Rad#1610737EDUGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsTT10X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Athesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Asay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	PhenoCycler Antibody Conjugation and Tissue Staining	_1	,
Cell Index Education of Loo of LooGelCode Blue Stain ReagentThermo Scientific24,5901X D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya ReagentsTT10X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Athesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Lammeli Loading Dve	Bio-Bad	#1610737FDU
IX D-PBSWisent311-425-CLMethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya Reagents10X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Asay ReagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	GelCode Blue Stain Reagent	Thermo Scientific	24 590
MethanolCommercial AlcoholsP016MEOHAkoya Reagents	1X D-PBS	Wisent	311-425-Cl
Akoya Reagents       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,001         10X PhenoCycler Buffer       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,001         Staining Kit       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,008         Conjugation Kit       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,009         Black-walled 96-well plate       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,006         Adhesive foil       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,007         Assay Beagent       Akoya Biosciences       7,000,002	Methanol	Commercial Alcohols	P016MEOH
10X PhenoCycler BufferAkoya Biosciences7,000,001Staining KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,008Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assay BeagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,002	Akova Reagents	connectar, aconois	1 o tomeont
Staining Kit     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,008       Conjugation Kit     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,009       Black-walled 96-well plate     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,006       Adhesive foil     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,007       Assay Beagent     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,002	10X PhenoCycler Buffer	Akova Biosciences	7 000 001
Conjugation KitAkoya Biosciences7,000,009Black-walled 96-well plateAkoya Biosciences7,000,006Adhesive foilAkoya Biosciences7,000,007Assay BeagentAkoya Biosciences7,000,007	Staining Kit	Akova Biosciences	7.000.008
Black-walled 96-well plate     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,005       Adhesive foil     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,007       Assay Beagent     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,002	Conjugation Kit	Akova Biosciences	7.000.009
Adhesive foil     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,007       Assay Beagent     Akoya Biosciences     7,000,007	Black-walled 96-well plate	Akova Biosciences	7.000.006
Assay Reagent Akova Biosciences 7,000,007	Adhesive foil	Akova Biosciences	7.000.007
	Assay Reagent	Akoya Biosciences	7,000,002

# Table 3 (continued)

Reagent or Resource	Reference or Source	Identifier or Catalog No.
Nuclear Stain	Akoya Biosciences	7,000,003
Flow Cell	Akoya Biosciences	240,204
Software		
QuPath	Bankhead et al. (68)	
StarDist	Schmidt et al. (69)	
MatLab	MathWorks	
CytoMAP	Stoltzfus et al. (55)	
	Weigert et al. (70)	
GraphPad Prism	Dotmatics	
Other		
Microtome	Leica	RM2125 RTS
PT Link for Pre-Treatment	Agilent	
LED Lamps		20,000 lx Intensity
AxioScan 7	Zeiss	
PhenoCycler-Fusion	Akoya Biosciences	



Fig. 3 Generation of a murine tissue microarray (TMA) for PhenoCycler Staining. (A) Representative H&E and CD45 staining from murine tumour tissues. H&E and CD45 staining was used to select regions of interest for TMA core punching. Scale bars represent 100 µm. Bottom row shows H&E staining of the tissue cores, following TMA generation. Each TMA core is 1 mm in size. (B) Table indicating the types and numbers of cores included in our multi-cancer murine TMA

Tumour samples grown from the 66cl4 and 4T1 murine triple-negative breast cancer cell lines were included in the multi-cancer TMA. Both cell lines are capable of forming primary tumours following inoculation into the mammary fat pads of syngeneic BALB/c mice [42]. However, they differ in their metastatic potential and route of dissemination [43]. 66cl4 cells are weakly metastatic and tend to travel via the lymphatic system to the lung [43]. Samples from our previously published [44] cohort of 66cl4 tumours from day-33 post-injection (roughly 1750 mm<sup>3</sup> in size) were included. The highly aggressive 4T1 model is metastatic to the bone, lung and liver and predominantly does so via the vasculature [43, 45]. We included samples from primary 4T1 tumours harvested day-14 post-injection when they are 600 mm<sup>3</sup>.

Additionally, to define differences between the TME of primary and metastatic 4T1 tumours, samples were included from mice with 4T1 liver metastases, generated using the intrasplenic injection model of experimental metastasis [46].

Finally, to enable comparison of ICI-resistant and ICI-susceptible murine melanoma models, melanomas from the *Tyr::CreER/BRaf*<sup>CA/+</sup>/*Pten*<sup>lox/lox</sup> conditional melanoma model [47] and the YUMMER1.7 syngeneic melanoma model [48] were included. The *Tyr::CreER/BRaf*<sup>CA/+</sup>/*Pten*<sup>lox/lox</sup> transgenic mouse is a well-described murine model of melanoma, which allows 4-hydroxytamoxifen-inducible melanocyte-targeted *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup> expression and simultaneous *PTEN* inactivation (referred to hereafter as *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup>/*PTEN*<sup>-/-</sup>).

Murine  $BRAF^{V600E}/PTEN^{-/-}$  melanomas are characterized by low immune cell infiltration and are therefore known to be "immune cold" and resistant to ICI-therapy [49, 50]. YUMMER1.7 cells were derived from a  $BRAF^{V600E}/PTEN^{-/-}$  melanoma following subsequent exposure to ultraviolet radiation to increase mutational burden, making YUMMER1.7 melanomas sensitive to ICI treatment [48]. We included samples harvested at 2000 mm<sup>3</sup> from YUMMER1.7 melanomas treated with either  $\alpha$ PD-1 immunotherapy or IgG control.

# Comparing the TME of nodal and extranodal murine B cell non-hodgkin's lymphoma

B-NHL is the most commonly diagnosed lymphoid malignancy, arising from the abnormal proliferation of B lymphocytes. B-NHL frequently arises in secondary lymphoid organs, such as the lymph nodes or spleen, but extranodal involvement is common and has been shown to correlate with adverse outcomes [51]. Studies have demonstrated that B-NHL has distinct biological features between different extranodal sites [52–54], and mouse modelling provides the opportunity to functionally examine how varied TMEs can impact the B-NHL immune cell infiltration, specifically as A20 tumours develop in the murine liver (Fig. 4A), while Eμ-Myc tumours develop in the lymph nodes (Fig. 4B).

Following PhenoCycler staining, DAPI-based segmentation (StarDist) of images was performed to extract single-cell marker expression, and cells were classified into phenotypes based on marker expression (Fig. 4C; see protocols above). In A20 and Eµ-Myc tumours, we were able to detect dendritic cells, B cells, endothelial cells, CD4+T cells, CD8+T cells, macrophages, regulatory T cells (Tregs), tumour cells, neutrophils, and fibroblasts. Of note, in these tissues and in the tissues derived from other tumour types, CD31+endothelial cells formed close contacts with  $\alpha$ SMA+fibroblasts, leading to fluorescence spillover of CD31 and aSMA lineage markers following cell segmentation. We classified these cells as "EndoFib", representing close contacts between endothelial cells and fibroblasts. This was similarly observed with tightly packed CD4+and CD8+T cells in lymphoma tissues only, and we termed these cells "T Cells" in downstream analyses. Despite these challenges in cell segmentation, the proportions of immune cell types found in the A20 TME by PhenoCycler correlated closely with archival flow cytometry immunophenotyping of dissociated A20 tumours, showing that these two methodologies can similarly identify cells in the TME (Fig. 4D).

The A20 B-NHL TME was characterized by high infiltration of immune cells (55.12%), relative to adjacent non-tumour bearing liver (16.27%) (Fig. 4E). The A20 immune infiltration was comprised of dendritic

cells (defined as CD11b+CD11c+), macrophages (defined as CD11b+F4/80+), CD8+T cells (defined CD3+CD8+FoxP3-), and Tregs (defined as as CD3+CD4+FoxP3+), while immune cells in the adjacent liver were almost exclusively macrophages (likely Kupffer cells), consistent with what is expected in normal liver. We analyzed spatial interactions between the different cell phenotypes in A20 tumours using Cyto-MAP to calculate the probability of different cell types being within 50  $\mu$ M of each other [55] (see methods). We found that Tregs were in close proximity to T cells (correlation coefficient=0.695) and CD8+T cells (correlation coefficient=0.6011). Furthermore, tumour cells were spatially segregated from immune cells such as CD8 T cells (correlation coefficient = -0.1289), macrophages (correlation coefficient = -0.1287), and Tregs (correlation coefficient = -0.1942; Fig. 4F-G). These results suggest that tumour cells tend to localize together within the extranodal B-NHL tumour mass while immune cells localize together at the tumour periphery and highlight that Tregs are a critical mediator of CD8+T cell immunosuppression in A20 tumours.

As expected, non-tumour bearing murine cervical lymph nodes consisted almost entirely of immune cells (84.04%); however, the presence of Eµ-Myc tumours drastically decreased this proportion (16.41%). In Eµ-Myc tumours, the overall immune composition was altered relative to healthy lymph nodes, with an increase in neutrophils (defined as CD11b+MPO+), and a decrease in Tregs, dendritic cells, and CD8+T cells (Fig. 4H). Eµ-Myc tumours also had an increased proportion of stromal cells, including fibroblasts and endothelial cells (23.09% in Eµ-Myc tumours, compared to 15.96% in healthy lymph node). Spatial analysis further demonstrated that Eµ-Myc tumours are relatively disorganized (Fig. 4I), and different cell types seem to be randomly distributed throughout the tumour. For instance, while CD8+T cells and Tregs can be detected (Fig. 4J), they are spatially segregated and are likely not functionally interacting (correlation coefficient=0.2058).

Our data shows that the presence of A20 extranodal tumours induces the recruitment of immune cells to the liver, while the presence of Eµ-Myc nodal tumours leads to immune cell displacement from the lymph nodes. Furthermore, as it has been previously suggested [56], our data suggest that A20 tumours rely on Tregs to induce immunosuppression and achieve immune evasion, while Eµ-Myc tumours are immune-depleted, and therefore do not require inhibitory immune cell interactions to achieve immunosuppression. Thus, these two models of B-NHL employ drastically different strategies to avoid immune destruction.



**Fig. 4** PhenoCycler imaging of the murine nodal and extra-nodal B-cell lymphoma tumour microenvironment. **(A)** Schematic of the A20 model of extranodal B-NHL, and representative images of Ki67 staining in healthy liver and A20 tumour-bearing liver. **(B)** Schematic of the Eµ-Myc model of nodal B-NHL, and representative images of Ki67 staining in healthy lymph node and an Eµ-Myc tumour-bearing lymph node. **(C)** Heatmap showing the normalized cellular mean intensity of markers within the PhenoCycler staining panel, in different phenotypes of cells in A20 and Eµ-Myc tumours. **(D)** Scatter plot comparing the proportions of different cell phenotypes as determined by PhenoCycler staining versus archival flow cytometry data, for A20 tumours. Pearson r = 0.8551, p = 0.0142. **(E)** Proportions of different cell types in adjacent healthy liver and A20 tumour-bearing liver. **(F)** Heatmap showing neighborhood analysis of A20 tumours, as Pearson correlation coefficient between cells. Blue hue indicates cells are likely to be in closer proximity. **(G)** Representative image of an A20 tumour core. **(H)** Proportions of different cell types in healthy lymph nodes and Eµ-Myc tumours, as Pearson correlation coefficient between cells. **(J)** Representative image of an Eµ-Myc tumour core.

# Defining differences in the TME of primary and metastatic murine breast cancer

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, comprised of different molecular subtypes. Patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) have the worst prognosis, largely due to aggressive tumour behaviour, increased risk of metastasis, and resistance to conventional anticancer therapies [57]. Treatments which target the TME in TNBC have gained increased attention in recent years, spurred on by data demonstrating the strong immunogenicity of this tumour type [58] and success of combined chemotherapy and immunotherapy in clinical trials [59, 60]. Understanding the cellular landscape of TNBC tumours will undoubtedly be beneficial for the continued development of successful TME-targeting therapies.

Towards this goal, we used PhenoCycler to image primary tumours from the commonly used pre-clinical murine 66cl4 and 4T1 TNBC models, as well as 4T1 liver metastases (Fig. 5A-B). Using the protocols described above, we performed cell-segmentation and cell-clustering to identify cell phenotypes. In these tumours, we could identify the same immune and stromal cell types as were found in lymphoma tumours. However, while lymphoma tumour cells were characterized by Ki67 positivity, we found that tumour cells in breast cancer models could be stratified based on Ki67 expression (Fig. 5D), and both Ki67+and Ki67- tumour cells were numerous enough to merit individual classification. Interestingly, the percentage of Ki67+tumour cells was higher in the more aggressive 4T1 samples compared to 66cl4 (Fig. 5E; percentage of Ki67+tumour cells among total tumour cells: 66cl4: 41.13%; 4T1: 80.65%; 4T1-liver: 63.47%). The proportion of CD45+immune cells was similar in all tumour sample types (Figs. 5E and 66cl4: 45.39%; 4T1-primary: 52.52%; 4T1-liver: 49.84%), with macrophages representing the dominant immune cell type (Fig. 5E-F: 66cl4: 39.35%; 4T1-primary: 40%; 4T1-liver: 38.59%) in line with previously published reports [61].

In addition to the composition of the immune cell landscape, cell neighbourhood analyses highlighted further differences between tumour types. Immune cells in 66cl4 tumours were largely localized together in restricted regions, but were found to be intermingling with other cell types throughout 4T1 tumours (Fig. 5G-I). In particular, 4T1 tumours were observed to have strong spatial interactions between CD8+T cells and endothelial cells (correlation coefficient=0.6198), and Ki67+ tumour cells and macrophages (correlation coefficient=0.5448; Fig. 5H). In contrast, the interaction between endothelial cells and CD8+T cells is lost in 4T1 liver metastases (correlation coefficient = -0.1667) compared to the primary tumour, with a concomitant increase in interactions between endothelial cells and neutrophils (correlation coefficient=0.4064) and total neutrophil abundance (Fig. 5J-KT1: 0.19%; 4T1-liver: 0.88%). These data corroborate observations that formation of 4T1 liver metastases is heavily reliant on the infiltration of neutrophils into the TME [62], suggesting that proximity to the vascular endothelium may be indicative of immune cell influx patterns.

These data illustrate the utility of PhenoCycler technology to profile the immune landscape of murine TNBC tumours, as we characterized immune cell composition of FFPE-processed murine tumour types while layering on top cellular distributions in space. We propose that future applications of PhenoCycler technology, using in-depth antibody panels which assess immune cell function or polarization, may aid in uncovering therapeutic options to augment anti-tumour immunity in TNBC patients.

# Characterizing the TME of ICI-resistant and ICI-susceptible murine melanoma

Melanoma is one of the most immunogenic cancer types, due to its high mutational burden, which leads to the production of neoantigens that are recognized by patrolling immune cells. To this end, ICI therapies have revolutionized the treatment of melanoma, but innate and acquired resistance remain as clinical challenges. Furthermore, clinical studies have shown that ICI resistance is associated with changes in TME composition [63, 64].

We used two immune competent murine models of melanoma for PhenoCycler staining: the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> model and the YUMMER1.7 model (Fig. 6A). BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> melanomas exhibit high intratumoural heterogeneity and melanoma cell plasticity, are known to be immune "cold", and are insensitive to ICI treatment. Conversely, YUMMER1.7-derived tumours are highly immunogenic and are susceptible to ICI-mediated tumour inhibition [48]. In our previous work, we have shown that  $\alpha$ PD-1 immunotherapy reduced the growth of YUMMER1.7 tumours and improved the overall survival of mice, but most tumours failed to go into complete remission [50], mimicking the human clinical scenario where more than half of patients experience disease progression following  $\alpha$ PD-1 treatment [65]. Thus, we aimed to determine if tumour regrowth following  $\alpha$ PD-1 treatment is associated with TME remodeling by comparing isotype control (IgG)treated tumours with aPD-1-treated tumours (aPD-1-relapsed), harvested when tumours were 2000 mm<sup>3</sup>. Additionally, samples from BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> tumours facilitated further comparison between an ICI-resistant and an ICI-sensitive murine model of melanoma.

PhenoCycler images from these murine melanomas were cell-segmented and classified based on marker expression (Fig. 6B). Similarly to breast cancer, we found that two distinct populations of tumour cells were present: Ki67+and Ki67- (Fig. 6C). BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> tumours were composed of 79.98% Ki67- tumour cells, and 2.88% Ki67+proliferating tumour cells (Fig. 6D). These data are consistent with our previous work demonstrating that BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> melanoma cells typically undergo phenotype switching from a more proliferative to a more invasive state, that is characterized by slower proliferation. The remaining 17.12% of cells within BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> tumours were stromal cells (5.29%) and immune cells (11.83%). The majority of the immune cells were found to be macrophages, with minimal T cell infiltration. Consistent with the fact that



Fig. 5 PhenoCycler imaging of the murine breast cancer tumour microenvironment. (A) Schematic of the 66cl4 murine model of breast cancer. (B) Schematics of the 4T1 murine models of breast cancer and breast cancer liver metastasis. (C) Heatmap showing the normalized cellular mean intensity of markers within the PhenoCycler staining panel, in different phenotypes of cells in 66cl4 and 4T1 tumours. (D) Representative image showing Ki67 + and Ki67- tumour cells. (E) Proportions of different cell types in 66cl4 and 4T1 tumours. (F) Representative images of macrophages and T cells in 66cl4 and 4T1 primary tumours. (G) Heatmap showing neighborhood analysis of 66cl4 tumours. (F) Representative images showing immune cells. (H) Heatmap showing neighborhood analysis of 4T1 primary tumours, as Pearson correlation coefficient between cells. (H) Heatmap showing immune cell infiltration patterns in 66cl4 and 4T1 tumours. (J) Heatmap showing neighborhood analysis of 4T1 liver metastases, as Pearson correlation coefficient between cells. K. Representative images comparing immune and stromal cell types in 4T1 primary and 4T1 liver metastases



**Fig. 6** PhenoCycler imaging of the murine melanoma tumour microenvironment. **(A)** Schematics of the *BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup>* and YUMMER1.7 murine models of melanoma. **(B)** Heatmap showing the normalized cellular mean intensity of markers within the PhenoCycler staining panel, in different phenotypes of cells in *BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup>* and YUMMER1.7 tumours. **(C)** Normalized Ki67 mean fluorescence intensity in Ki67 + tumour cells and Ki67 - tumour cells. **(D)** Proportions of different cell types in *BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup>* tumours. **(E)** Heatmap showing neighborhood analysis of *BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup>* tumours, as Pearson correlation coefficient between cells. **(F)** Representative image of *BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup>* tumour core. **(G)** Proportions of different cell types in YUMMER1.7 tumours, treated with IgG control or aPD-1. **(H-I)** Heatmap showing neighborhood analysis of YUMMER1.7 tumours treated with IgG control **(H)** or aPD-1 **(I)**, as Pearson correlation coefficient between cells. **(J)** Representative image of YUMMER1.7-IgG tumour core. **(K)** Representative image of YUMMER1.7-aPD-1 tumour core

 $BRAF^{V600E}/PTEN^{-/-}$  tumours are immune "cold", spatial analysis demonstrated that cells within these tumours did not have preferential interactions with each other (Fig. 6E) and appeared randomly distributed within the tissues (Fig. 6F).

As compared to BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> tumours, YUM-MER1.7 tumours had a significantly higher proportion of proliferative (Ki67+) tumour cells, which was slightly decreased upon resistance to aPD-1 treatment (Fig. 6G and 23.23% in IgG-treated samples versus 18.22% in αPD-1-relapsed samples). Furthermore, both IgG-treated and aPD-1-relapsed YUMMER1.7 tumours were more immunogenically "hot" with increased immune cell abundance as compared to BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>/PTEN<sup>-/-</sup> tumours (IgG-treated: 39.11% immune cells; αPD-1-relapsed: 37.1% immune cells). Whie IgG-treated and αPD-1 relapsed YUMMER1.7 tumours had similar immune cell invasion, there were distinct differences in cellular organization. Spatial analysis of YUMMER1.7-IgG tumours showed strong interactions between CD8+T cells and macrophages (correlation coefficient=0.6482), and CD8+T cells and dendritic cells (correlation coefficient=0.4957; Fig. 6H). YUMMER1.7-IgG Ki67+tumour cells were in close proximity to these immune cells (CD8+T cell/ Ki67+tumour cell correlation coefficient=0.3529; macrophage/ Ki67+tumour cell correlation coefficient=0.3535; dendritic cell/ Ki67+tumour cell correlation coefficient=0.2486), as compared to Ki67- tumour cells (CD8+T cell/ Ki67- tumour cell correlation coefficient = -0.2316; macrophage/ Ki67- tumour cell correlation coefficient = -0.1342; dendritic cell/ Ki67tumour cell correlation coefficient = -0.1013). However, Ki67- tumour cells were in closer contact with CD4+T cells (Ki67+tumour cell/ CD4+T cell correlation coefficient = -0.0339, Ki67- tumour cell/ CD4+T cell correlation coefficient=0.1932). In  $\alpha$ PD-1-relapsed tumours, all of these cellular contacts were reduced (Fig. 6I-K), supporting reduced tumour-immune cell interaction as a mechanism of acquired ICI resistance in melanoma.

All together, these results support that Ki67+proliferative melanoma cells have higher immunogenicity. In agreement with this,  $BRAF^{V600E}/PTEN^{-/-}$  tumours have a substantially increased proportion of Ki67- tumour cells, correlating with a decreased proportion of infiltrating immune cells. Moreover, in YUMMER1.7-IgG tumours, Ki67+tumour cells maintain close contacts with immune cells. In  $\alpha$ PD-1-replapsed YUMMER1.7 tumours, there is no preferential interaction of Ki67+or Ki67- tumour cells with immune cells, indicating immune dysfunction upon the emergence of ICI-resistance. To this end, our data supports the notion that ICI-resistance is associated with decreased interactions between immune cells and tumour cells [66], as  $\alpha$ PD-1-relapsed YUM-MER1.7 tumours have similar macrophage infiltration

as compared to IgG controls, yet the tissue organization is altered such that there are limited cellular contacts between macrophages and tumour cells.

# Discussion

## PhenoCycler imaging of murine FFPE tumour tissues

The TME is a central player in many of the biological challenges associated with cancer treatment, such as immune escape, disease metastasis, and drug resistance. Thus, it is critically important to assess both the composition and the spatial dynamics of the TME in mouse models that are commonly used in pre-clinical cancer research. Previously, PhenoCycler imaging of murine tissues had been limited to fresh frozen tissues. Here, we detail imaging FFPE murine tissues and provide our protocols for the optimization and conjugation of antibodies for this purpose. To illustrate the feasibility of this approach, we provide data showing successful staining of murine lymphoma, melanoma, and breast cancer tissues.

Immunofluorescence imaging of FFPE tissues is not without challenges. FFPE tissues tend to have high autofluorescence, which can distort true positive staining. Additionally, formalin-fixation induces protein crosslinking, leading to epitope masking and difficulties in primary antibody binding [38]. However, many research groups archive tissues from previous pre-clinical studies in FFPE format; thus, it is a worthwhile endeavor to optimize antibodies for highly multiplexed imaging of murine FFPE tissues, to allow for the utilization of archival materials. To this end, the selection of antibody clones with an ideal SNR was a critical first step towards this goal. Following clone selection, antibodies were carefully optimized, for parameters such as concentration, incubation time and temperature, and imaging exposure time.

In this dataset, we first showed that the tumour microenvironment of murine B-NHL is altered between the A20 and Eµ-Myc models of B-NHL, suggesting two different mechanisms of immune evasion. Then, we demonstrated that the distribution of the immune microenvironment differs between models of murine TNBC, and showed how measurement of interactions between endothelial and immune cells may relate to TME infiltration. Finally, using samples from murine melanoma, we examined how the TME is altered in the context of ICI-resistance, and found that ICI-susceptible tumours have increased spatial interactions between immune cells and tumour cells. Our data asserts that the careful selection of a mouse model is critical when designing experiments to study the TME. For instance, Eu-Myc or *BRAF*<sup>V600E</sup>/*PTEN*<sup>-/-</sup> models may be appropriate to study therapeutics that are predicted to increase immune cell trafficking or retention in the TME; while A20, 66cl4, 4T1, or YUMMER1.7 models could be useful to study therapeutics that re-activate immune cells already

present in the TME. Furthermore, we demonstrate that PhenoCycler imaging of murine tumours can be employed both to test and to generate hypotheses. As an example of this, we hypothesized that the TME would be altered in different models of B-NHL, and our data found close cellular contacts between CD8+T cells and Tregs in A20 B-NHL tumours, but not in E $\mu$ -Myc tumours. Thus, one may hypothesize that Tregs in A20 function via direct inhibitory interactions with CD8+T cells to suppress anti-tumour immunity [67], and to further investigate this, ex vivo functional assays could be employed. Throughout this study, there are numerous examples where our findings via PhenoCycler imaging have been hypothesis generating and could be further explored with in vitro or in vivo experimentation.

# Analysis of highly multiplexed immunofluorescence staining data

While many labs may be eager to begin highly multiplexed imaging of their experimental tissues, data analysis can appear to be a daunting task. Above, we provide our workflows for open-source analysis of PhenoCycler imaging data. In our analysis pipeline, we primarily use QuPath software for cell classification [68], and Cyto-MAP for spatial analysis [55]. In QuPath, images are segmented into single cells using a StarDist plugin [69, 70]. In some cases, cell segmentation failed to discriminate individual cells when close contacts resulted in fluorescence spillover. This was particularly true in the case of intact blood vessels, where  $\alpha$ SMA+fibroblasts formed close contacts with CD31+endothelial cells. In our dataset, we referred to these as "EndoFib" cells, and considered them to be a distinct entity. We also note that alternate segmentation methods that incorporate a cell membrane marker to define cellular boundaries may need to be utilized when the primary cell type of study is irregularly shaped or multinucleated, such as a fibroblast or a neuron [71].

To classify cells into phenotypes, we manually annotated a small number of cells based on their marker expression and used object-based classification methods in QuPath to extend this cell classification to the whole tissue. While this method of analysis proved to be highly successful in our hands, other analysis pipelines may allow more cursory or in-depth higher-plex image analysis. For instance, following cell segmentation, cellular mean intensity of all markers can be exported to a comma-separated values (CSV) file, which can be analyzed with FlowJo or other programs (so-called "handgating"). However, the success of hand-gating is limited by cell segmentation noise [72]. Another alternative is to perform unsupervised clustering analysis, using pipelines such as Seurat, but we note that over-clustering has the potential to identify false phenotypes, and therefore must be used with caution. Overall, the analysis pipeline described above is an excellent starting point for novices in multiplexed immunofluorescence image analysis and can be built upon to allow for more sophisticated analyses which answer increasingly complex experimental questions.

# Limitations of the technology

While the PhenoCycler system for highly multiplexed fluorescent imaging has distinct advantages over other highly multiplexed imaging platforms, such as nondestructive tissue imaging, limited spectral overlap in fluorescence due to iterative cycles of imaging, and the use of robotic automation to increase throughput, there are also limitations to this technology. For instance, it is expensive and time consuming to identify antibody clones that are suitable for PhenoCycler immunofluorescence imaging. Additionally, the conjugation of an antibody to a DNA barcode can occasionally result in antibody dysfunction, and it is costly to research labs to correct problems of this nature. The process of identifying antibody clones suitable for PhenoCycler imaging represents a significant bottleneck in the PhenoCycler workflow, especially when generating custom antibody panels.

Furthermore, while PhenoCycler has been proven to image up to 100 markers, there is limited opportunity for signal amplification to aid in the visualization of targets of low abundance. To this point, there have been attempts to integrate tyramide-based signal amplification into the PhenoCycler workflow [20], but the proposed strategy requires iterative staining and stripping cycles, thereby increasing the risk of tissue damage and decreasing automation.

## **Concluding remarks**

As new technologies in highly multiplexed imaging continue to emerge, we predict that many labs will require refined protocols for image acquisition and data analysis. Highly multiplexed imaging provides the opportunity to visualize many diverse cell types in their native environments, and the insights provided from these types of experiments are instrumental in advancing the field of cancer research. Thus, we predict that the number of publications which employ highly multiplexed imaging will explode over the next decade. To this end, data must be appropriately collected and analyzed, and we hope to empower research groups to begin working towards this goal with the protocols provided above.

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-024-01199-4.

Supplementary Material 1: Immunofluorescence optimization of antibodies for murine FFPE staining

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

# Author contributions

Conceptualization – M.J.A., C.G., K.K.M., S.V.d.R. Methodology – M.J.A., C.G., P.M., V.G., H.C. Investigation – M.J.A., C.G., V.G., H.C., S.E.J.P., F.H. Animal Models – M.J.A., S.E.J.P., F.H., N.G. Data Visualization – M.J.A., C.G., P.M. Supervision – N.J., W.M., K.K.M., S.V.d.R. Writing – Original Draft – M.J.A. Writing – Reviewing and Editing – all authors.

#### Funding

MJ.A and S.P were the recipients of Fonds de Recherche du Quebec Sante (FRQS) PhD training awards. P.M. was the recipient of a Canada Institutes of Health Research Canada Graduate Scholarship (CIHR-CGS-M). MJ.A. holds a Cole Foundation PhD Fellowship, and S.V.d.R was the recipient of Cole Foundation Transition Grant. S.V.d.R was funded by a Canadian Cancer Society Emerging Scholar Research Grant (ID 707140) and supported by an Operating Grant from The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society of Canada / Société de leucémie & lymphome du Canada and Cancer Research Society / Société de recherche sur le cancer (1038746). K.K.M was supported by an operating grant from the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society of Canada (ID 622895) and was the recipient of a grant from the Cancer Research Society (ID 840432).

#### Data availability

The data in this manuscript is available at: https://github.com/MMdR-lab/mouseCODEX-paper.

## Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 18 October 2023 / Accepted: 19 January 2024 Published online: 04 February 2024

#### References

- Quail DF, Joyce JA. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and metastasis. Nat Med. 2013;19(11):1423–37.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011;144(5):646–74.
- Kartikasari AER, Huertas CS, Mitchell A, Plebanski M. Tumor-Induced Inflammatory cytokines and the Emerging Diagnostic devices for Cancer Detection and Prognosis. Front Oncol. 2021;11:692142.
- Bule P, Aguiar SI, Aires-Da-Silva F, Dias JNR. Chemokine-Directed Tumor Microenvironment Modulation in Cancer Immunotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22:18.
- 5. Tao S-C, Guo S-C. Role of extracellular vesicles in tumour microenvironment. Cell Communication and Signaling. 2020;18(1):163.
- 6. Jin M-Z, Jin W-L. The updated landscape of tumor microenvironment and drug repurposing. Signal Transduct Target Therapy. 2020;5(1):166.
- Joyce JA, Pollard JW. Microenvironmental regulation of metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009;9(4):239–52.
- Zitvogel L, Pitt JM, Daillère R, Smyth MJ, Kroemer G. Mouse models in oncoimmunology. Nat Rev Cancer. 2016;16(12):759–73.
- McKinnon KM. Flow Cytometry: An Overview. Curr Protoc Immunol. 2018;120:5.1.-5.1.11.
- 10. Keren L, Bosse M, Marquez D, Angoshtari R, Jain S, Varma S, et al. A structured tumor-Immune Microenvironment in Triple negative breast Cancer revealed by Multiplexed Ion Beam Imaging. Cell. 2018;174(6):1373–87e19.

- 11. Jackson HW, Fischer JR, Zanotelli VRT, Ali HR, Mechera R, Soysal SD, et al. The single-cell pathology landscape of breast cancer. Nature. 2020;578(7796):615–20.
- 12. Radtke AJ, Chu CJ, Yaniv Z, Yao L, Marr J, Beuschel RT, et al. IBEX: an iterative immunolabeling and chemical bleaching method for high-content imaging of diverse tissues. Nat Protoc. 2022;17(2):378–401.
- Goltsev Y, Samusik N, Kennedy-Darling J, Bhate S, Hale M, Vazquez G, et al. Deep profiling of mouse Splenic Architecture with CODEX multiplexed imaging. Cell. 2018;174(4):968–81e15.
- Black S, Phillips D, Hickey JW, Kennedy-Darling J, Venkataraaman VG, Samusik N, et al. CODEX multiplexed tissue imaging with DNA-conjugated antibodies. Nat Protoc. 2021;16(8):3802–35.
- Jhaveri N, Nikulina N, Zong H, Ma N, Cheikh BB, Pratapa A, et al. Abstract 3877: deep ultrahigh-plex spatial phenotyping of human cancer tissues. Cancer Res. 2022;82(12\_Supplement):3877.
- Niyati J, Bassem Ben C, Nadezhda N, Ning M, Dmytro K, James D et al. Singlecell Spatial Metabolic and Immune Phenotyping of Head and Neck Cancer Tissues Identifies Tissue Signatures of Response and Resistance to Immunotherapy. bioRxiv. 2023;2023.05.30.540859.
- Phillips D, Matusiak M, Gutierrez BR, Bhate SS, Barlow GL, Jiang S, et al. Immune cell topography predicts response to PD-1 blockade in cutaneous T cell lymphoma. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):6726.
- Mondello P, Fama A, Larson MC, Feldman AL, Villasboas JC, Yang Z-Z, et al. Lack of intrafollicular memory CD4 +T cells is predictive of early clinical failure in newly diagnosed follicular lymphoma. Blood Cancer Journal. 2021;11(7):130.
- Roider T, Baertsch MA, Fitzgerald D, Voehringer H, Brinkmann BJ, Czernilofsky F et al. Multimodal and spatially resolved profiling identifies distinct patterns of T-cell infiltration in nodal B-cell lymphoma entities. bioRxiv. 2022:2022.11.04.514366.
- 20. Simonson PD, Valencia I, Patel SS. Tyramide-conjugated DNA barcodes enable signal amplification for multiparametric CODEX imaging. Commun Biol. 2022;5(1):627.
- Gouin KH, Ing N, Plummer JT, Rosser CJ, Ben Cheikh B, Oh C, et al. An N-Cadherin 2 expressing epithelial cell subpopulation predicts response to surgery, chemotherapy and immunotherapy in bladder cancer. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4906.
- Schürch CM, Bhate SS, Barlow GL, Phillips DJ, Noti L, Zlobec I, et al. Coordinated Cellular neighborhoods Orchestrate Antitumoral immunity at the Colorectal Cancer Invasive Front. Cell. 2020;182(5):1341–59e19.
- Haensel D, Daniel B, Gaddam S, Pan C, Fabo T, Bjelajac J, et al. Skin basal cell carcinomas assemble a pro-tumorigenic spatially organized and self-propagating Trem2 + myeloid niche. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):2685.
- Shekarian T, Zinner CP, Bartoszek EM, Duchemin W, Wachnowicz AT, Hogan S, et al. Immunotherapy of glioblastoma explants induces interferon-γ responses and spatial immune cell rearrangements in tumor center, but not periphery. Sci Adv. 2022;8(26):eabn9440.
- 25. Kumar T, Nee K, Wei R, He S, Nguyen QH, Bai S et al. A spatially resolved single-cell genomic atlas of the adult human breast. Nature. 2023.
- 26. Sadeghirad H, Liu N, Monkman J, Ma N, Cheikh BB, Jhaveri N et al. Compartmentalized spatial profiling of the tumor microenvironment in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma identifies immune checkpoint molecules and tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily members as biomarkers of response to immunotherapy. Front Immunol. 2023;14.
- 27. Mayer AT, Holman DR, Sood A, Tandon U, Bhate SS, Bodapati S, et al. A tissue atlas of ulcerative colitis revealing evidence of sex-dependent differences in disease-driving inflammatory cell types and resistance to TNF inhibitor therapy. Sci Adv. 2023;9(3):eadd1166.
- Neumann EK, Patterson NH, Rivera ES, Allen JL, Brewer M, deCaestecker MP, et al. Highly multiplexed immunofluorescence of the human kidney using co-detection by indexing. Kidney Int. 2022;101(1):137–43.
- Burns GL, Bruce JK, Minahan K, Mathe A, Fairlie T, Cameron R, et al. Type 2 and type 17 effector cells are increased in the duodenal mucosa but not peripheral blood of patients with functional dyspepsia. Front Immunol. 2022;13:1051632.
- Kano S, Nakamura M, Nojiri Y, Magara T, Yoshimitsu M, Kato H, et al. Differences in the immune microenvironment between improved and non-improved cases of vitiligo after halo nevus excision. J Dermatol Sci. 2023;109(3):136–42.
- 31. Sanchez-Molina P, Pratapa A, Nikulina N, Cheikh B, Singh J, Dhawan A, et al. Single-cell spatial proteomic analysis by multiplexed imaging enables

identification of microglial heterogeneity in Alzheimer's disease human brain. Research Square; 2023.

- Melo Ferreira R, Sabo AR, Winfree S, Collins KS, Janosevic D, Gulbronson CJ et al. Integration of spatial and single-cell transcriptomics localizes epithelial cell-immune cross-talk in kidney injury. JCI Insight. 2021;6(12).
- Foster DS, Januszyk M, Delitto D, Yost KE, Griffin M, Guo J, et al. Multiomic analysis reveals conservation of cancer-associated fibroblast phenotypes across species and tissue of origin. Cancer Cell. 2022;40(11):1392–406e7.
- Yu Xin W, Colin AH, James NH, Jasmin G, Mohsen A, Shiqi S et al. A single cell spatial temporal atlas of skeletal muscle reveals cellular neighborhoods that orchestrate regeneration and become disrupted in aging. bioRxiv. 2022:2022.06.10.494732.
- 35. Frede A, Czarnewski P, Monasterio G, Tripathi KP, Bejarano DA, Ramirez Flores RO, et al. B cell expansion hinders the stroma-epithelium regenerative cross talk during mucosal healing. Immunity. 2022;55(12):2336–51e12.
- Bejarano DA, Schlitzer A. Unveiling macrophage heterogeneity and their spatial distribution using multiplexed tissue imaging. Methods Mol Biol. 2024;2713:281–96.
- Ono Y, Sato H, Miyazaki T, Fujiki K, Kume E, Tanaka M. Quality assessment of long-term stored formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissues for histopathological evaluation. J Toxicol Pathol. 2018;31(1):61–4.
- Scalia CR, Boi G, Bolognesi MM, Riva L, Manzoni M, DeSmedt L, et al. Antigen Masking during fixation and embedding, dissected. J Histochem Cytochem. 2017;65(1):5–20.
- Du Z, Lin JR, Rashid R, Maliga Z, Wang S, Aster JC, et al. Qualifying antibodies for image-based immune profiling and multiplexed tissue imaging. Nat Protoc. 2019;14(10):2900–30.
- Bagaev A, Kotlov N, Nomie K, Svekolkin V, Gafurov A, Isaeva O, et al. Conserved pan-cancer microenvironment subtypes predict response to immunotherapy. Cancer Cell. 2021;39(6):845–65.e7.
- Kim KJ, Kanellopoulos-Langevin C, Merwin RM, Sachs DH, Asofsky R. Establishment and characterization of BALB/c lymphoma lines with B cell properties. J Immunol. 1979;122(2):549–54.
- Dexter DL, Kowalski HM, Blazar BA, Fligiel Z, Vogel R, Heppner GH. Heterogeneity of tumor cells from a single mouse mammary tumor. Cancer Res. 1978;38(10):3174–81.
- Aslakson CJ, Miller FR. Selective events in the metastatic process defined by analysis of the sequential dissemination of subpopulations of a mouse mammary tumor. Cancer Res. 1992;52(6):1399–405.
- Guo Q, Bartish M, Gonçalves C, Huang F, Smith-Voudouris J, Krisna SS, et al. The MNK1/2-eIF4E Axis supports Immune suppression and metastasis in Postpartum breast Cancer. Cancer Res. 2021;81(14):3876–89.
- 45. Pulaski BA, Ostrand-Rosenberg S. Mouse 4T1 breast tumor model. Curr Protoc Immunol. 2001;Chap. 20:Unit 20.2.
- Dafflon C, Santamaría-Martínez A, Ordóñez-Morán P. An intrasplenic injection model for the study of Cancer Stem Cell Seeding Capacity. Methods Mol Biol. 2020;2171:293–302.
- Dankort D, Curley DP, Cartlidge RA, Nelson B, Karnezis AN, Damsky WE Jr., et al. Braf(V600E) cooperates with Pten loss to induce metastatic melanoma. Nat Genet. 2009;41(5):544–52.
- Wang J, Perry CJ, Meeth K, Thakral D, Damsky W, Micevic G, et al. UV-induced somatic mutations elicit a functional T cell response in the YUMMER1.7 mouse melanoma model. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2017;30(4):428–35.
- Peng W, Chen JQ, Liu C, Malu S, Creasy C, Tetzlaff MT, et al. Loss of PTEN promotes resistance to T cell-mediated immunotherapy. Cancer Discov. 2016;6(2):202–16.
- Huang F, Gonçalves C, Bartish M, Rémy-Sarrazin J, Issa ME, Cordeiro B et al. Inhibiting the MNK1/2-elF4E axis impairs melanoma phenotype switching and potentiates antitumor immune responses. J Clin Invest. 2021;131(8).
- Castillo JJ, Winer ES, Olszewski AJ. Sites of extranodal involvement are prognostic in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the Rituximab era: an analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End results database. Am J Hematol. 2014;89(3):310–4.
- Ben Abdelwahed R, Cosette J, Donnou S, Crozet L, Ouakrim H, Fridman WH, et al. Lymphoma B-cell responsiveness to CpG-DNA depends on the tumor microenvironment. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2013;32(1):18.
- Donnou S, Galand C, Daussy C, Crozet L, Fridman WH, Sautès-Fridman C, et al. Immune adaptive microenvironment profiles in intracerebral and intrasplenic lymphomas share common characteristics. Clin Exp Immunol. 2011;165(3):329–37.

- Galand C, Donnou S, Molina TJ, Fridman WH, Fisson S, Sautes-fridman C. Influence of Tumor Location on the composition of Immune infiltrate and its impact on patient survival. Lessons from DCBCL and Animal models. Front Immunol. 2012;3.
- Stoltzfus CR, Filipek J, Gern BH, Olin BE, Leal JM, Wu Y, et al. CytoMAP: a spatial analysis Toolbox reveals features of Myeloid Cell Organization in lymphoid tissues. Cell Rep. 2020;31(3):107523.
- Marabelle A, Kohrt H, Sagiv-Barfi I, Ajami B, Axtell RC, Zhou G, et al. Depleting tumor-specific Tregs at a single site eradicates disseminated tumors. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(6):2447–63.
- Valencia GA, Rioja P, Morante Z, Ruiz R, Fuentes H, Castaneda CA, et al. Immunotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer: a literature review and new advances. World J Clin Oncol. 2022;13(3):219–36.
- Liu Z, Li M, Jiang Z, Wang X. A comprehensive immunologic portrait of Triplenegative breast Cancer. Transl Oncol. 2018;11(2):311–29.
- Cortes J, Cescon DW, Rugo HS, Nowecki Z, Im SA, Yusof MM, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus placebo plus chemotherapy for previously untreated locally recurrent inoperable or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (KEYNOTE-355): a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, phase 3 clinical trial. Lancet. 2020;396(10265):1817–28.
- Schmid P, Cortes J, Dent R, Pusztai L, McArthur H, Kümmel S, et al. VP7-2021: KEYNOTE-522: Phase III study of neoadjuvant pembrolizumab + chemotherapy vs. placebo + chemotherapy, followed by adjuvant pembrolizumab vs. placebo for early-stage TNBC. Annals of Oncology. 2021;32(9):1198–200.
- DuPré SA, Redelman D, Hunter KW Jr. The mouse mammary carcinoma 4T1: characterization of the cellular landscape of primary tumours and metastatic tumour foci. Int J Exp Pathol. 2007;88(5):351–60.
- 62. Tabariès S, Ouellet V, Hsu BE, Annis MG, Rose AA, Meunier L, et al. Granulocytic immune infiltrates are essential for the efficient formation of breast cancer liver metastases. Breast Cancer Res. 2015;17(1):45.
- Gide TN, Quek C, Menzies AM, Tasker AT, Shang P, Holst J, et al. Distinct Immune cell populations define response to Anti-PD-1 monotherapy and Anti-PD-1/Anti-CTLA-4 combined Therapy. Cancer Cell. 2019;35(2):238–. – 55. e6.
- Hossain SM, Gimenez G, Stockwell PA, Tsai P, Print CG, Rys J et al. Innate immune checkpoint inhibitor resistance is associated with melanoma subtypes exhibiting invasive and de-differentiated gene expression signatures. Front Immunol. 2022;13.
- Patrinely JR Jr., Baker LX, Davis EJ, Song H, Ye F, Johnson DB. Outcomes after progression of disease with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy for patients with advanced melanoma. Cancer. 2020;126(15):3448–55.
- Moldoveanu D, Ramsay L, Lajoie M, Anderson-Trocme L, Lingrand M, Berry D, et al. Spatially mapping the immune landscape of melanoma using imaging mass cytometry. Sci Immunol. 2022;7(70):eabi5072.
- 67. Sojka DK, Huang YH, Fowell DJ. Mechanisms of regulatory T-cell suppression a diverse arsenal for a moving target. Immunology. 2008;124(1):13–22.
- Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernández JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, et al. QuPath: open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):16878.
- Schmidt U, Weigert M, Broaddus C, Myers G, editors. Cell detection with Star-Convex polygons. Medical Image Computing and Computer assisted intervention – MICCAI 2018; 2018 2018//; Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Weigert M, Schmidt U, Haase R, Sugawara K, Myers G, editors. Star-convex Polyhedra for 3D Object Detection and Segmentation in Microscopy. 2020 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV); 2020 1–5 March 2020.
- Dayao MT, Brusko M, Wasserfall C, Bar-Joseph Z. Membrane marker selection for segmenting single cell spatial proteomics data. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):1999.
- 72. Hickey JW, Tan Y, Nolan GP, Goltsev Y. Strategies for Accurate Cell Type Identification in CODEX Multiplexed Imaging Data. Front Immunol. 2021;12:727626.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.