

REVIEW

Open Access

Viral and cellular SOS-regulated motor proteins: dsDNA translocation mechanisms with divergent functions

Annie Wolfe, Kara Phipps and Tao Weitao*

Abstract

DNA damage attacks on bacterial cells have been known to activate the SOS response, a transcriptional response affecting chromosome replication, DNA recombination and repair, cell division and prophage induction. All these functions require double-stranded (ds) DNA translocation by ASCE hexameric motors. This review seeks to delineate the structural and functional characteristics of the SOS response and the SOS-regulated DNA translocases FtsK and RuvB with the phi29 bacteriophage packaging motor gp16 ATPase as a prototype to study bacterial motors. While gp16 ATPase, cellular FtsK and RuvB are similarly comprised of hexameric rings encircling dsDNA and functioning as ATP-driven DNA translocases, they utilize different mechanisms to accomplish separate functions, suggesting a convergent evolution of these motors. The gp16 ATPase and FtsK use a novel revolution mechanism, generating a power stroke between subunits through an entropy-DNA affinity switch and pushing dsDNA inward without rotation of DNA and the motor, whereas RuvB seems to employ a rotation mechanism that remains to be further characterized. While FtsK and RuvB perform essential tasks during the SOS response, their roles may be far more significant as SOS response is involved in antibiotic-inducible bacterial vesiculation and biofilm formation as well as the perspective of the bacteria-cancer evolutionary interaction.

Keywords: AAA+ proteins, DNA translocases, DNA repair, Replication, Recombination, SOS response, Bacteria, Phages

Introduction

Bacterial chromosomes and phages share a similar cycle of life: genome replication, packaging and segregation. When encountering stress such as DNA damage, the host cells launch SOS response (Figure 1) [1,2], in which the host cell adjusts to accommodate DNA damage. Chromosome stability and consequently, phages, are threatened, as the host cell delays cell division so that DNA damage can be fairly repaired. Phages multiply through viral genome replication, DNA packaging and assembly. One function essential in either the normal or the stressed conditions is translocation of double-stranded (ds) DNA, by which phage DNA is transported into the prohead (Figure 2A), chromosomes are translocated into daughter cells (Figure 2B), and damaged DNA is repaired (Figure 1). This function is carried out by dsDNA translocases that belong to the additional strand catalytic E (ASCE) superfamily, including the

ATPases associated with a variety of cellular activities (AAA+) [3] and the FtsK-HerA superfamily [4]. This review attempts to summarize our understanding of the SOS regulated dsDNA translocases using a phi29 packaging motor as a prototype.

A novel hexameric prototype of the bacteriophage motor proteins

DNA packaging and delivery machines in tailed dsDNA bacteriophages have been used as models for studying DNA motors (Figure 2A) [5], since these viruses have complex assembly pathways [6] that are representative of some cellular processes. Of these phages, the bacillus phage phi (ϕ) 29 was first discovered to have a portal head-tail connector in an icosahedral shell [7]. Located in a pentavalent site in the capsid, the portal fits within this opening and is composed of a connector channel with a 35 Å-diameter size at the narrowest part through which phage DNA is translocated during packaging. More interestingly, the gp16

* Correspondence: twei@sbuniv.edu
Biology Department, College of Science and Mathematics, Southwest Baptist University, 1600 University Ave, Bolivar, Missouri 65613, USA




Figure 1 The bacterial SOS machinery. The SOS machinery is operated by the interplay of two key regulators, an SOS repressor LexA and an inducer RecA. RecA responds to DNA damage by binding to ssDNA, which triggers LexA autocleavage. The LexA repressor dissociates from the SOS boxes in order to derepress and induce transcription of the SOS regulon. These genes work to either repair or bypass the lesions of DNA damage.

ATPase of phi29 packaging motor, belonging to the ASCE superfamily, has drawn great attention. This class of nanomotors facilitates a wide range of functions including DNA damage repair, replication, recombination, chromosome segregation, DNA/RNA transportation, membrane sorting, and cellular reorganization [8,9].

This motor operates by a revolving mechanism without rotation in analogy to the Earth revolving around the sun, free of friction, coiling, and torque [10]. This mechanism has been discovered in DNA translocation motors of viruses, bacteria, and eukaryotic cells.

The phi29 dsDNA packaging motor is made of a hexameric ATPase gp16, a hexameric pRNA ring [11] and a dodecameric gp10 connector [12]. The components form the three-coaxial rings through which dsDNA is translocated into the procapsid [12] (Figure 3) by a novel mechanism of revolution rather than rotation. The dodecameric gp10 connector of the motor is composed of 12 encircling subunits of gp10, forming a central channel, through which dsDNA is translocated [13,14]. The motor connector channel processes elastic properties and heterogeneous stiffness that prevent DNA leakage during translocation [15]. During viral DNA packaging, ATPase gp16 is stimulated by ATP binding to its subunit to adapt a conformational entropy with high affinity for dsDNA. When ATP is hydrolyzed, however, the ATPase switches to a different conformational entropy with lower affinity to dsDNA so that dsDNA leaves the subunit and moves to the next lower entropy-high affinity subunit by a power stroke (Figure 3). Six ATPs are consumed along the hexameric ring in one cycle, translocating the dsDNA one helical turn of 360° at 1.75 bp per ATP [16,17]. Because the DNA is actually revolving unidirectionally along the hexameric tunnel wall, it is unlikely for the DNA or the hexameric ring to rotate. This model is well supported by multiple lines of evidence from phi29 [16-21] and T4 DNA packaging motors [22] as well as bacterial FtsK [23], such as dsDNA affinity binding properties, observed cooperative and sequential subunit actions, predicted ring sizes, proper DNA binding orientation and subunit angles.





Figure 2 Two types of ASCE hexameric dsDNA motors. (A) Unidirectional motors represented by phage phi29 gp16 using a revolution mechanism with a power stroke between subunits through an entropy-DNA affinity switch to push dsDNA into the page head without rotation of DNA and the motor. (B) Bidirectional motors represented by bacterial FtsK employing the similar revolution mechanism. (Created by artist Grant Cochran).



The SOS response in bacteria

Unlike bacteriophages, bacterial populations have the capacity to launch an emergency response to environmental threats. This response is named after the international telegraph distress signal termed “SOS”. Early observations of irradiated phages and host bacterial cells as reviewed previously prompted the SOS response hypothesis [25]. When UV-irradiated phage λ was plated on irradiated *Escherichia coli* cells, reactivation of the irradiated phage increased significantly [26] in a phenomenon termed Weigle reactivation [1]. Additionally, when *E. coli* lysogens carrying prophage λ on the host chromosome were UV-irradiated, prophage induction was stimulated, resulting in host lysis and phage release [27-30]. The UV-irradiated *E. coli* cells also became filamentous indicating cell division inhibition. These radiation events of division arrest, prophage induction and UV-induced mutation, were related as suggested [31], which led Miroslav Radman to conclude that irradiated *E. coli* undergoes DNA damage repair through SOS response [1,2].

The SOS machinery is operated by interplay between two key regulatory proteins, an SOS repressor LexA (locus for X-ray sensitivity A [32]) and an inducer RecA (recombinase A), which alternatively turns SOS on or off

(Figure 1A) [33] as reviewed recently [34]. In the absence of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA, which is a DNA damage signal), LexA represses expression of at least 43 SOS genes mostly involved in DNA damage repair in *E. coli* [35,36]. LexA autoregulates its expression by binding to its own boxes [37], thereby minimizing excessive states of LexA and increasing sensitivity to the SOS signal. In response to DNA damage signals, coprotease RecA, becomes activated and assumes a filament that binds to ssDNA [38,39]. The ssDNA-RecA coprotease triggers subsequent LexA autocleavage activity occurring between residues Ala84 and Gly85 [33]. The self-cleaved LexA repressor dissociates from its binding sites (SOS boxes) upstream of the SOS genes to derepress and induce SOS genes that act to repair or bypass DNA damage. The activity of RecA coprotease then declines, followed by dimerization of LexA which binds to the SOS boxes and represses the SOS gene expression [40].

Bacterial dsDNA hexameric translocases under SOS control

The dsDNA translocases of the ASCE DNA motor proteins are critical to DNA repair, replication, recombination, chromosome segregation, DNA/RNA

transportation, membrane sorting, cellular reorganization, and many other processes [8,9]. As observed in *E. coli*, the FtsK family of the ASCE protein family transports DNA and separates intertwined chromosomes during cell division (Figure 2B) [4], while the SpoIIIE family [41] translocates DNA from a mother cell into the pre-spore during sporulation of *Bacillus subtilis* [42]. Both FtsK and SpoIIIE DNA transportation systems rely on the assembly of a hexameric machine. Besides, functioning in a rotational fashion, TrwB transports DNA during bacterial conjugation [43,44]; replicative DNA helicase DnaB [45] unwinds dsDNA in the front of the replication fork to provide ssDNA templates for the DNA polymerase III holoenzyme [46,47]; and RuvB translocates dsDNA in an ATP hydrolysis-dependent manner during recombination [48]. These DNA motor proteins maintain routine functions of life; but a few, such as FtsK and RuvB, are induced during the SOS response to fulfill special tasks. These two proteins are reviewed below.

FtsK

Discovery of SOS-regulated *ftsK*

The *ftsK* gene was discovered by mutations in an *E. coli* cell division gene that rendered a temperature-sensitive late-stage arrest in division without affecting chromosome replication or segregation [49,50]. *ftsK* expression increases during SOS response [51]. The first of *ftsK*'s two promoters is situated within the *lrp* (global response regulatory gene) reading frame and is dispensable. The essential, second promoter corresponds to *dinH*, which previously was characterized as an SOS promoter [52]. The FtsK protein is a 147-kDa polypeptide. Its N-terminal domain (FtsK_N) displays predicted membrane-spanning regions. The C-terminal domain (FtsK_C), is a member of ASCE superfamily [4] with a nucleotide-binding consensus sequence [49]. FtsK bears extensive homology with bacterial proteins involved in DNA transfer, such as SpoIIIE of *B. subtilis* [49,50].

Couple of chromosome segregation with cell division

FtsK couples chromosome segregation with cell division at the bacterial septum (Figure 2B) [53]. In the presence of a chromosome dimer, FtsK_C is brought to the Xer-*dif* nucleoprotein complex [54], which resolves the dimer to the monomers [55] through Xer site-specific recombination by two recombinases, XerC and XerD acting on a 28-bp recombination site on chromosome, *dif* [56-59]. FtsK_{50C}, a truncated FtsK derivative that contains an intact C-terminal domain, is a DNA motor protein. Functioning as a DNA translocase and forming a ring-shaped multimer on a DNA template, it activates resolution of a chromosome dimer by switching the catalytic state of the XerC and XerD recombinases [60] on using ATP hydrolysis [61]. XerD generates Holliday junctions by creating a pair

of strand exchanges and XerC resolves this structure through the reaction between directly repeated *dif* sites in circular DNA [60]. FtsK_C ATPase activity directly activates Xer recombination at *dif* before Holliday junction formation [61]. The terminal catenation of replicated chromosomes are thereby separated or decatenated to leave the septal region free of DNA before completion of cell division. FtsK may act directionally to ensure this separation (decatenation) directional action [62,63]. This premise is aligned with the decatenation process *in vitro* by using combination of the FtsK-XerCD recombination machinery that facilitates synapsis of *dif* during FtsK translocation along DNA and resolves chromosomal dimers to monomers [64]. The resolution is thought to be mediated by FtsK, which translocates chromosomal DNA through the closing septum in a DNA-sequence independent manner [65].

Mechanism of DNA translocation

FtsK translocates chromosomal DNA from the septum at cell division in a fascinating process (Figure 4), given FtsK's versatility in translocation of DNA, control of the directionality, and self-anchorage to the DNA substrate [41]. FtsK is responsible for bidirectional dsDNA translocation [66] and may employ a revolution mechanism to transport DNA as indicated by the structural study (Figure 4) [23]. Specifically, FtsK of *E. coli* (EcFtsK) is a multi-domain protein consisting of a 600-amino acid linker, FtsK_C (α , β and γ), and FtsK_N [60,67,68]. The ATP-dependent ability of EcFtsK to move on DNA molecules *in vitro* suggests that it is a DNA motor protein [23]. As EcFtsK's long linker complicated structural studies of the motor mechanism, the C-terminal domain of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PaFtsK_C) was adopted for further investigations [23]. PaFtsK_C structural studies indicate a RecA-like core and a ring-like hexamer with DNA-dependent formation through which DNA passes. The α and β domains of FtsK_C make up the DNA translocase and γ interacts with XerD [23]. From these data, a "rotary inchworm" mechanism of dsDNA translocation similar to the revolving mechanism was proposed (Figure 4) [23,69]. Hexameric FtsK_C translocates DNA through its central channel where protein-DNA contacts involve one or two monomers, which undergo a catalytic cycle, translocating DNA without evident rotation as DNA binds the next subunit following the second subunit's catalysis [23]. A rotation mechanism is unlikely, as predicted by the PaFtsK_C hexameric ring diameter being greater than that of dsDNA, suggesting a revolution mechanism analogous to the phi29 motor (Figure 3).

The revolving mechanism exercises hinged movement between the α and β domains of PaFtsK_C to translocate dsDNA (Figure 4) [23]. The hexameric ring holds dsDNA, with one functional subunit contacting the DNA at a time. The functional subunit monomer experiences an ATP catalytic cycle and translocates DNA through the channel




Figure 4 A revolution model of DNA translocation by hexameric FtsK. FtsK may employ a revolution mechanism to transport DNA without rotation. The hinged movement between α and β domains of PaFtsK_C ensures a continuous translocation of DNA through the hexameric FtsK_C ring, by which the α domain translocates the DNA backbone, and then releases as the β domain binds and moves the helix within the same patch. Stars, indicate the active subunit of each power stroke. (Adopted from reference [23] with the permission from the publisher).

by the hinged movement of the α and β domains (Figure 4) [23]. In particular, the α domain drags the DNA backbone to translocate 1.6 base pairs of the helix per ATP before releasing. The β domain subsequently binds to the next location of the helix within the same patch of the DNA and moves it. This action carries the DNA backbone to the next functional subunit inside the same ring by a sequential hand-off mechanism without rotation of the protein ring against the DNA [23] so that one functional subunit of the hexameric ring contacts the dsDNA at a time. It performs the same exercise of DNA-binding, a catalytic cycle and translocation. This DNA translocation cycle is facilitated by the interaction between helical structure of DNA and the functional subunit of the hexameric ring [23]. Furthermore, this cycle of DNA translocation may follow a sequential escort mechanism in which multiple α and/or β domains drag and release the DNA strand per catalytic step before changing hands with the adjacent subunits [70].

RuvB

RuvA, RuvB, and RuvC, are three proteins that play important roles in processing Holliday junctions formed in the late stage of homologous recombination of prokaryotes (Figure 5) [48,71,72]. The genes for RuvA and RuvB are part of a LexA regulated SOS regulon [73]. RuvB has been classified as a member of the AAA+ ATPase superfamily, based on structural analysis [74,75].

Discovery of SOS-regulated *ruvAB* operon

The *ruvA* and *ruvB* genes were discovered by mutations that rendered the *E. coli* cells sensitive to UV irradiation [77]. After exposure to UV light, the *ruvA* and *ruvB* mutants were found defective in cell division,

resulting in nonseptate multinucleated cells [77]. This suggests an inability of these mutants for recombination and repair of UV damage, as well as involvement of the SOS response [78]. In fact, the SOS boxes were found near a promoter of an operon containing two open reading frames for RuvA and RuvB [73,79] but not for RuvC, located immediately upstream of the *ruvAB* operon [80]. Furthermore, the *ruvAB* operon was induced by DNA damage [81] through LexA derepression [79] whereas *ruvC* was not [80,82].




Figure 5 Branch migration of RuvA-RuvB in solution. The four monomers of RuvA combine around a central point to accommodate the square planar configuration of the Holliday junction in which the four DNA duplex arms attach to grooves on the concave surface of RuvA. Through ATP hydrolysis, the two hexameric RuvB rings encircle and translocate the dsDNA arms. Curved arrows indicate rotation of DNA while the thick arrows indicate translocation of dsDNA through the junction. DNA rotation during Holliday junction branch occurs at a $V(\max)$ of 1.6 revolutions per second, or 8.3 bp per second. (Adopted from reference [76] with the permission from the publisher).

Interaction of RuvABC with Holliday Junctions

Holliday junctions are generated by RecA but processed by RuvAB and resolved by RuvC, with RuvB as an ATP-driven motor for branch migration (Figure 5) [83]. Asymmetric assembly of the RuvAB-branch migration complex was observed, in which RuvAB pushes DNA through the hexameric rings of RuvB and promotes branch migration [84]. Electron microscopy of the tripartite RuvAB-Holliday junction complex showed that RuvA binds to the crossover while RuvB forms two hexameric rings encompassing dsDNA on each side, so that the Holliday junction adopts a square-planar structure (Figure 5) [85]. Both proteins bind Holliday junctions, but RuvA loads RuvB onto the junctions [86]. Loading is mediated by RuvA targeting one hexameric RuvB ring to one arm of the DNA complex [86]; the DNA then can be pushed through the RuvB ring and unwound [87]. During branch migration, RuvC scans the region for cleavage sites during RuvAB-mediated branch migration, dissociates RuvA, and eventually cleaves the junction [88]. Based on a finding that RuvAB doesn't necessarily impact the site specificity of RuvC-dependent cleavage, a model was proposed that the RuvABC resolvase acts at the RuvC consensus cleavage sequence signaled by RecA through a Holliday junction formation [89]. Conclusively, RecA and the related proteins initiate formation of the Holliday junction from the lesion of DNA damage, while RuvAB catalyzes branch migration and recycles RecA [90] at the expense of ATP, and RuvC recycles RuvAB and resolves the junction.

DNA translocation mechanism by RuvB

RuvB forms two hexameric rings, through which dsDNA is translocated in an ATP hydrolysis-dependent manner (Figure 5) [48,72]. EM revealed that RuvB's two hexameric rings are arranged in a bipolar manner with the large ends faced inward enabling DNA to exit through the small ends [91]. The exact mechanism was later elucidated by a proposed atomic model for the RuvA-RuvB-Holliday junction complex, in which RuvB pumps in and out DNA duplex arms without segmental unwinding [92]. This modeled mechanism, derived from EM images of the ternary RuvA-B complex [93], seems different from the revolution mechanism of phi29 gp16 as described earlier (Figure 3). How RuvB rotates dsDNA still remains elusive, but a mechanochemical-coupling mechanism was proposed that two subunits of RuvB hexameric rings bind dsDNA and hydrolyze ATP to generate a power stroke and rotate DNA in a DNA binding-ATP hydrolysis step that relays along the ring [94]. Such a rotation was observed in an observational nanobead system in which one end of the cruciform DNA was fixed onto a glass bead surface [76]. Real-time observations suggest DNA rotation during Holliday junction branch migration at 1.6 revolutions per second (Figure 5) [76]. Since rotation of dsDNA

in chromosome causes the topological stress and extra ATP consumption, the enigma concerning how RuvB translocates dsDNA needs to be elucidated. In conclusion, two flanking hexameric rings of RuvB of the RuvAB-Holliday-junction migration machinery translocate dsDNA unidirectionally.

Conclusion and perspectives

When encountering a large scale of DNA damage attacks such as UV radiation or replication inhibitor antibiotics, bacteria activate the SOS response. Why *ruvAB* and *ftsK*, among the genes encoding many other ASCE DNA motor proteins, are induced during SOS seems puzzling. An insight into this mystery comes from DNA replication fork arrest resulting from a DNA damage attack that induces SOS. The lesion of the stalled forks generates the Holliday junction, necessitating RuvAB action to restore replication [95-98]. Similarly, terminal recombination intermediates resulting from chromosome replication must be resolved by FtsK. Intriguingly, the SOS repressors of several temperate phages also act in parallel with host LexA, inducing genes for viral DNA motors that lead to phage assembly and host cell lysis. This correlation hints at convergent evolution between the viral and the cellular DNA motors. While performing DNA translocation, phi29 gp16 ATPase and cellular FtsK use a revolution mechanism whereas RuvB seems to employ a rotation mechanism with different directionalities. The phage motor protein transports the viral genome unidirectionally by a check-valve mechanism [15,99] into the phage head (Figure 2A) whereas cellular FtsK resolves the duplicated chromosomes and translocates them bidirectionally from the septation region (Figure 2B). RuvB drives unidirectional migration of the Holliday junction. Each displays characteristic hexameric rings to encircle and pump dsDNA (Figure 2). This conserved strategy includes sequential subunit actions of ATP binding, DNA binding, ATP hydrolysis, and DNA translocation. DNA is translocated by a combination of chemical and mechanical reactions, albeit in different fashions. The phi29 gp16 ATPase uses a revolution mechanism of the entropy-DNA affinity switch between the subunits to generate a power stroke that pushes dsDNA inward without rotation of DNA and the pump. Cellular FtsK adopts a "rotary inchworm" mechanism of the hinged movement by α and β domains with sequential hand-on and hand-off events on dsDNA to effect transport without rotation. For RuvB, a mechanism differing to that used by gp16 was proposed, with dsDNA rotating by the same power stroke. Despite the significant progress in the nano-characterization of these DNA motors, the mechanism by which RuvB rotates and translocates dsDNA is still unclear, and the proposed mechanochemical-coupling mechanism is to be further tested at the nano-level. As the rotation mechanism causes

coiling of DNA and incur a high ATP cost, future efforts should focus on these issues. It is plausible for cellular dsDNA motors to translocate dsDNA via revolution because such a mechanism does not cause topological stress on chromosome [100]. While the mechanisms of DNA translocation by phi29 gp16 ATPase and cellular FtsK have been extensively characterized *in vitro*, the future challenge is to validate these mechanisms *in vivo* by examining these DNA motors translocating DNA in live viruses and cells. Finally, SOS and the related ASCE motors may have profound implications. SOS can be induced by antibiotics not only via direct DNA damage but also via indirect and subsequent production of hydroxyl radicals [101,102] though they do not kill the bacteria [103]. SOS contributes to antibiotic-inducible bacterial biofilm formation [104-106] and vesiculation [107]. Moreover, convergent evolution has been proposed between SOS-inducible biofilm formation and tumor metastasis [106,108-111]. This convergence may allow bacteria under selective pressure of anti-cancer replication inhibitors to evolve anti-cancer phenotypes that may be facilitated by the SOS-related DNA motors [109-111]. Future study of these motors may provide insights into development novel anticancer therapy as well as anti-biofilm regimes.

Abbreviations

dsDNA: Double stranded DNA; LexA: Locus for X-ray sensitivity A; RecA: Recombinase A; ssDNA: Single stranded DNA; ASCE: Additional Strand Catalytic E; AAA+: ATPases associated with a variety of cellular activities; *Lrp*: global response regulatory gene; EM: Electron microscopy; EcFtsK: FtsK of *E. coli*; FtsK_C: C-terminal domain; PaFtsK: FtsK of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Competing interests

None of the authors have financial or non-financial competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AW contributed by writing the section about RuvB. KP participated in writing the sections of SOS and FtsK and coordination of this project. TW conceived the design, synthesis and organization of this work as well as drafted the entire manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

TW, PhD and MD, holds an Associate Professor position of Biology at Southwest Baptist University. His research is focused on the bacterial SOS response to DNA damaging antibiotics and the related physiology of biofilms, cell motility and vesiculation. KP, a senior pursuing a Biology major and Chemistry minor, is an undergraduate researcher who has authored a research paper published in 2013. AW is a 2013-graduated *summa cum laude* with a Bachelor's of Science in Biology and a concentration in Biomedical sciences.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Grant Cochran of Art Department at Southwest Baptist University for digital drawing of Figure 1. We are thankful to Ms. Ellen Fjellman, a student of Southwest Baptist University, for assistance with reference formatting and proofreading, and Mr. Zhuangyao Daniel Wei, an incoming freshman of UT Dallas, for final proofreading. We thank Dr. Craig Endres, chair of Biology Department, and Dr. Perry Tompkins, dean of College of Science and Mathematics at Southwest Baptist University for financial support.

Received: 6 February 2014 Accepted: 13 June 2014
Published: 25 June 2014

References

1. Radman M, Sherman S, Miller M, Lawrence C, Tabor WH, Springfield IL, Thomas CC: **Phenomenology of an inducible mutagenic DNA repair pathway in *Escherichia coli*: SOS repair hypothesis.** In *Molecular and Environmental aspects of mutagenesis*; 1974:128-142.
2. Bridges BA: **Error-prone DNA repair and translesion DNA synthesis: II: The inducible SOS hypothesis.** *DNA Repair* 2005, **4**:725-739.
3. Kunau WH, Beyer A, Franken T, Götte K, Marzoch M, Sайдowsky J, Skaletz-Rorowski A, Wiebel FF: **Two complementary approaches to study peroxisome biogenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Forward and reversed genetics.** *Biochimie* 1993, **75**:209-224.
4. Iyer LM, Makarova KS, Koonin EV, Aravind L: **Comparative genomics of the FtsK-HerA superfamily of pumping ATPases: Implications for the origins of chromosome segregation, cell division and viral capsid packaging.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**:5260-5279.
5. Johnson JE, Chiu W: **DNA packaging and delivery machines in tailed bacteriophages.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2007, **17**:237-243.
6. Steven AC, Heymann JB, Cheng N, Trus BL, Conway JF: **Virus maturation: Dynamics and mechanism of a stabilizing structural transition that leads to infectivity.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2005, **15**:227-236.
7. Tao Y, Olson NH, Xu W, Anderson DL, Rossmann MG, Baker TS: **Assembly of a tailed bacterial virus and its genome release studied in three dimensions.** *Cell* 1998, **95**:431-437.
8. Ammelburg M, Frickey T, Lupas AN: **Classification of AAA+ proteins.** *J Struct Biol* 2006, **156**:2-11.
9. Martin A, Baker TA, Sauer RT: **Rebuilt AAA + motors reveal operating principles for ATP-fuelled machines.** *Nature* 2005, **437**:1115-1120.
10. Guo P, Schwartz C, Haak J, Zhao Z: **Discovery of a new motion mechanism of biomotors similar to the earth revolving around the sun without rotation.** *Virology* 2013, **446**:133-143.
11. Guo P, Erickson S, Anderson D: **A small viral RNA is required for in vitro packaging of bacteriophage phi29 DNA.** *Science* 1987, **236**:690-694.
12. Schwartz C, Guo P: **Ultra-stable pRNA hexameric ring gearing hexameric phi29 DNA-packaging motor by revolving without rotating and coiling.** *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2013, **24**:581-590.
13. Guasch A, Pous J, Ibarra B, Gomis-Rüth FX, Valpuesta JM, Sousa N, Carrascosa JL, Coll M: **Detailed architecture of a DNA translocating machine: the high-resolution structure of the bacteriophage phi29 connector particle.** *J Mol Biol* 2002, **315**:663-676.
14. Jimenez J, Santisteban A, Carazo JM, Carrascosa JL: **Computer graphic display method for visualizing three-dimensional biological structures.** *Science* 1986, **232**:1113-1115.
15. Kumar R, Grubmüller H: **Elastic properties and heterogeneous stiffness of the phi29 motor connector channel.** *Biophys J* 2014, **106**:1338-1348.
16. Schwartz C, De Donatis GM, Fang H, Guo P: **The ATPase of the phi29 DNA packaging motor is a member of the hexameric AAA+ superfamily.** *Virology* 2013, **443**:20-27.
17. Zhao Z, Khisamutdinov E, Schwartz C, Guo P: **Mechanism of one-way traffic of hexameric Phi19 DNA packaging motor with four electropositive relaying layers facilitating antiparallel revolution.** *ACS Nano* 2013, **7**:4082-4092.
18. Schwartz C, Fang H, Huang L, Guo P: **Sequential action of ATPase, ATP, ADP, Pi and dsDNA in procapsid-free system to enlighten mechanism in viral dsDNA packaging.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012, **40**:2577-2586.
19. Chen C, Guo P: **Magnesium-induced conformational change of packaging RNA for procapsid recognition and binding during phage phi29 DNA encapsidation.** *J Virol* 1997, **71**:495-500.
20. Moffitt JR, Chemla YR, Aathavan K, Grimes S, Jardine PJ, Anderson DL, Bustamante C: **Intersubunit coordination in a homomeric ring ATPase.** *Nature* 2009, **457**:446-450.
21. Guo P, Peterson C, Anderson D: **Prohead and DNA-gp3-dependent ATPase activity of the DNA packaging protein gp16 of bacteriophage phi29.** *J Mol Biol* 1987, **197**:229-236.
22. Sun S, Kondabagil K, Draper B, Alam TI, Bowman VD, Bowman VD, Zhang Z, Hegde S, Fokine A, Rossmann MG, Rao VB: **The structure of the Phage T4 DNA packaging motor suggests a mechanism dependent on electrostatic forces.** *Cell* 2008, **135**:251-1262.
23. Massey TH, Mercogliano CP, Yates J, Sherratt DJ, Löwe J: **Double-stranded DNA translocation: Structure and mechanism of hexameric FtsK.** *Mol Cell* 2006, **23**:457-469.

24. Schwartz C, De Donatis GM, Zhang H, Fang H, Guo P: **Revolution rather than rotation of AAA+ hexameric phi29 nanomotor for viral dsDNA packaging without coiling.** *Virology* 2013, **443**:28–39.
25. Janion C: **Inducible SOS response system of DNA repair and mutagenesis in *Escherichia coli*.** *Int J Biol Sci* 2008, **23**:338–344.
26. Weigle J: **Induction of mutation in a bacterial virus.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1953, **39**:628–636.
27. Borek E, Ryan A: **The transfer of irradiation elicited induction in a lysogenic organism.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 1958, **44**:374–377.
28. Hertman I, Luria SE: **Transduction studies on the role of a rec+ gene in the ultraviolet induction of prophage lambda.** *J Mol Biol* 1967, **23**:117–133.
29. Defais M, Fauquet P, Radman M, Errera M: **Ultraviolet reactivation and ultraviolet mutagenesis of lambda in different genetic systems.** *Virology* 1971, **43**:495–503.
30. Craig NL, Roberts JW: **Function of nucleoside triphosphate and polynucleotide in *Escherichia coli* recA protein-directed cleavage of phage lambda repressor.** *J Biol Chem* 1981, **256**:8039–8044.
31. Witkin EM: **Ultraviolet-induced mutation and DNA repair.** *Annu Rev Microbiol* 1969, **23**:487–514.
32. Howard-Flanders P, Boyce RP, Theriot L: **Three loci in *Escherichia coli* K-12 that control the excision of pyrimidine dimers and certain other mutagen products from DNA.** *Genetics* 1966, **53**:1119–1136.
33. Little JW: **Mechanism of specific LexA cleavage: Autodigestion and the role of RecA coprotease.** *Biochimie* 1991, **73**:411–421.
34. Butala M, Žgur-Bertok D, Busby SJW: **The bacterial LexA transcriptional repressor.** *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2009, **66**:82–93.
35. Courcelle J, Khodursky A, Peter B, Brown PO, Hanawalt PC: **Comparative gene expression profiles following UV exposure in wild-type and SOS-deficient *Escherichia coli*.** *Genetics* 2001, **158**:41–64.
36. de Henestrosa AR F, Ogi T, Aoyagi S, Chafin D, Hayes JJ, Ohmori H, Woodgate R: **Identification of additional genes belonging to the LexA regulon in *Escherichia coli*.** *Mol Microbiol* 2000, **35**:1560–1572.
37. Little JW, Harper JE: **Identification of the lexA gene product of *Escherichia coli* K-12.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 1979, **76**:6147–6151.
38. Cox MM: **Regulation of bacterial RecA protein function.** *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 2007, **42**:41–63.
39. Chen Z, Yang H, Pavletich NP: **Mechanism of homologous recombination from the RecA-ssDNA/dsDNA structures.** *Nature* 2008, **453**:489–494.
40. Erill I, Campoy S, Barbé J: **Aeons of distress: an evolutionary perspective on the bacterial SOS response.** *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2007, **31**:637–656.
41. Demarre G, Galli E, Barre F-X: **The FtsK Family of DNA Pumps.** In *DNA Helicases and DNA Motor Proteins*. Edited by Spies M. New York: Springer; 2013:245–262.
42. Bath J, Wu LJ, Errington J, Wang JC: **Role of *Bacillus subtilis* SpoIIIE in DNA transport across the mother cell-prespore division septum.** *Science* 2000, **549**:995–997.
43. Gomis-Ruth FX, Moncalian G, Perez-Luque R, Gonzalez A, Cabezon E, de la Cruz F, Coll M: **The bacterial conjugation protein TrwB resembles ring helicases and F1-ATPase.** *Nature* 2001, **409**:637–641.
44. Zhang C, Tian B, Li S, Ao X, Dalgaard K, Gökce S, Liang Y, She Q: **Genetic manipulation in *Sulfolobus islandicus* and functional analysis of DNA repair genes.** *Biochem Soc Trans* 2013, **41**:405–410.
45. Itsathitphaisarn O, Wing Richard A, Eliason William K, Wang J, Steitz Thomas A: **The hexameric helicase DnaB adopts a nonplanar conformation during translocation.** *Cell* 2012, **151**:267–277.
46. Kaplan DL, Steitz TA: **DnaB from *Thermus aquaticus* unwinds forked duplex DNA with an asymmetric tail length dependence.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:6889–6897.
47. LeBowitz JH, McMacken R: **The *Escherichia coli* dnaB replication protein is a DNA helicase.** *J Biol Chem* 1986, **261**:4738–4748.
48. Shinagawa H, Iwasaki H: **Processing the holliday junction in homologous recombination.** *Trends Biochem Sci* 1996, **21**:107–111.
49. Begg KJ, Dewar SJ, Donachie WD: **A new *Escherichia coli* cell division gene, ftsK.** *J Bacteriol* 1995, **177**:6211–6222.
50. Diez AA, Farewell A, Nannmark U, Nyström T: **A mutation in the ftsK gene of *Escherichia coli* affects cell-cell separation, stationary-phase survival, stress adaptation, and expression of the gene encoding the stress protein UspA.** *J Bacteriol* 1997, **179**:5878–5883.
51. Wang L, Lutkenhaus J: **FtsK is an essential cell division protein that is localized to the septum and induced as part of the SOS response.** *Mol Microbiol* 1998, **29**:731–740.
52. Dorazi R, Dewar SJ: **The SOS promoter dinH is essential for ftsK transcription during cell division.** *Microbiology* 2000, **146**:2891–2899.
53. Donachie WD: **FtsK: Maxwell's demon?** *Mol Cell* 2002, **9**:206–207.
54. Sherratt DJ, Lau IF: **Barre F-Xa: Chromosome segregation.** *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2001, **4**:653–659.
55. Recchia GD, Sherratt DJ: **Conservation of xer site-specific recombination genes in bacteria.** *Mol Microbiol* 1999, **34**:1146–1148.
56. Blakely G, Colloms S, May G, Burke M, Sherratt D: ***Escherichia coli* XerC recombinase is required for chromosomal segregation at cell division.** *New Biol* 1991, **3**:789–798.
57. Blakely G, May G, McCulloch R, Arciszewska LK, Burke M, Lovett ST, Sherratt DJ: **Two related recombinases are required for site-specific recombination at dif and cer in *E. coli* K12.** *Cell* 1993, **75**:351–361.
58. Colloms SD, Sykora P, Szatmari G, Sherratt DJ: **Recombination at ColE1 cer requires the *Escherichia coli* xerC gene product, a member of the lambda DNA integrase family of site-specific recombinases.** *J Bacteriol* 1990, **172**:6973–6980.
59. Kuempel PL, Henson JM, Dircks L, Tecklenburg M, Lim DF: **dif, a recA-independent recombination site in the terminus region of the chromosome of *Escherichia coli*.** *New Biol* 1991, **3**:799–811.
60. Aussel L, Barre F-X, Aroyo M, Stasiak A, Stasiak AZ, Sherratt D: **FtsK Is a DNA motor protein that activates chromosome dimer resolution by switching the catalytic state of the XerC and XerD recombinases.** *Cell* 2002, **108**:195–205.
61. Massey TH, Aussel L, Barre F-X, Sherratt DJ: **Asymmetric activation of Xer site-specific recombination by FtsK.** *EMBO Rep* 2004, **5**:399–404.
62. Lau IF, Filipe SR, Søballe B, Økstad O-A, Barre F-X, Sherratt DJ: **Spatial and temporal organization of replicating *Escherichia coli* chromosomes.** *Mol Microbiol* 2003, **49**:731–743.
63. Li Y, Youngren B, Sergueev K, Austin S: **Segregation of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome terminus.** *Mol Microbiol* 2003, **50**:825–834.
64. Ip SCY, Bregu M, Barre F-X, Sherratt DJ: **Decatenation of DNA circles by FtsK-dependent Xer site-specific recombination.** *EMBO J* 2003, **22**:6399–6407.
65. Saleh OA, Peralis C, Barre F-X, Allemand J-F: **Fast, DNA-sequence independent translocation by FtsK in a single-molecule experiment.** *EMBO J* 2004, **23**:2430–2439.
66. Pease PJ, Levy O, Cost GJ, Gore J, Ptacin JL, Sherratt D, Bustamante C, Cozzarelli NR: **Sequence-directed DNA translocation by purified FtsK.** *Science* 2005, **307**:586–590.
67. Barre F-X, Aroyo M, Colloms SD, Helfrich A, Cornet F, Sherratt DJ: **FtsK functions in the processing of a Holliday junction intermediate during bacterial chromosome segregation.** *Genes Dev* 2000, **14**:2976–2988.
68. Yu X-C, Weihe EK, Margolin W: **Role of the C terminus of FtsK in *Escherichia coli* chromosome segregation.** *J Bacteriol* 1998, **180**:6424–6428.
69. Crozat E, Grainge I: **FtsK DNA translocase: the fast motor that knows where it's going.** *ChemBio Chem* 2010, **11**:2232–2243.
70. Crozat E, Meglio A, Allemand J-F, Chivers CE, Howarth M, Vénien-Bryan C, Grainge I, Sherratt DJ: **Separating speed and ability to displace roadblocks during DNA translocation by FtsK.** *EMBO J* 2010, **29**:1423–1433.
71. Holliday R: **The induction of mitotic recombination by mitomycin C in *ustilago* and *saccharomyces*.** *Genetics* 1964, **50**:323–335.
72. West SC: **Processing of recombination intermediates by the RuvABC proteins.** *Annu Rev Genet* 1997, **31**:213–244.
73. Benson F, Illing G, Sharples G, Lloyd R: **Nucleotide sequencing of the ruv region of *Escherichia coli* K-12 reveals a LexA regulated operon encoding two genes.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1998, **16**:1541–1549.
74. Iwasaki H, Han Y-W, Okamoto T, Ohnishi T, Yoshikawa M, Yamada K, Toh H, Daiyasu H, Ogura T, Shinagawa H: **Mutational analysis of the functional motifs of RuvB, an AAA+ class helicase and motor protein for Holliday junction branch migration.** *Mol Microbiol* 2000, **36**:528–538.
75. Neuwald AF, Aravind L, Spouge JL, Koonin EV: **AAA+: A class of chaperone-like ATPases associated with the assembly, operation, and disassembly of protein complexes.** *Genome Res* 1999, **9**:27–43.
76. Han Y-W, Tani T, Hayashi M, Hishida T, Iwasaki H, Shinagawa H, Harada Y: **Direct observation of DNA rotation during branch migration of Holliday junction DNA by *Escherichia coli* RuvA–RuvB protein complex.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2006, **103**:11544–11548.
77. Otsuji N, Iyehara H, Hideshima Y: **Isolation and characterization of an *Escherichia coli* ruv mutant which forms nonseptate filaments after low doses of ultraviolet light irradiation.** *J Bacteriol* 1974, **117**:337–344.

78. Sharples G, Benson F, Illing G, Lloyd R: **Molecular and functional analysis of the ruv region of Escherichia coli K-12 reveals three genes involved in DNA repair and recombination.** *Mol Gen Genet* 1990, **221**:219–226.
79. Shinagawa H, Makino K, Amemura M, Kimura S, Iwasaki H, Nakata A: **Structure and regulation of the Escherichia coli ruv operon involved in DNA repair and recombination.** *J Bacteriol* 1988, **170**:4322–4329.
80. Takahagi M, Iwasaki H, Nakata A, Shinagawa H: **Molecular analysis of the Escherichia coli ruvC gene, which encodes a Holliday junction-specific endonuclease.** *J Bacteriol* 1991, **173**:5747–5753.
81. Shurvinton CE, Lloyd RG: **Damage to DNA induces expression of the ruv gene of Escherichia coli.** *Mol Gen Genet* 1982, **185**:352–355.
82. Sharples GJ, Lloyd RG: **Resolution of Holliday junctions in Escherichia coli: identification of the ruvC gene product as a 19-kilodalton protein.** *J Bacteriol* 1991, **173**:7711–7715.
83. Shinagawa H: **Molecular mechanisms of Holliday junction processing in Escherichia coli.** *Adv Biophys* 1995, **31**:49–65.
84. Hiom K, West S: **Branch migration during homologous recombination: assembly of a RuvAB-Holliday junction complex in vitro.** *Cell* 1995, **80**:787–793.
85. Parsons C, Stasiak A, Bennett R, West S: **Structure of a multisubunit complex that promotes DNA branch migration.** *Nature* 1995, **374**:375–378.
86. Hiom K, West S: **Characterisation of RuvAB-Holliday junction complexes by glycerol gradient sedimentation.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1995, **23**:3621–3626.
87. Hiom K, Tsaneva I, West S: **The directionality of RuvAB-mediated branch migration: in vitro studies with three-armed junctions.** *Genes Cells* 1996, **1**:443–451.
88. Whitby MC, Bolt EL, Chan SN, Lloyd RG: **Interactions between RuvA and RuvC at Holliday junctions: inhibition of junction cleavage and formation of a RuvA-RuvC-DNA complex.** *J Mol Biol* 1996, **264**:878–890.
89. Eggleston A, West S: **Cleavage of holliday junctions by the Escherichia coli RuvABC complex.** *J Biol Chem* 2000, **275**:26467–26476.
90. Adams D, Tsaneva I, West S: **Dissociation of RecA filaments from duplex DNA by the RuvA and RuvB DNA repair proteins.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1994, **91**:9901–9905.
91. Yu X, West S, Egelman E: **Structure and subunit composition of the RuvAB-Holliday junction complex.** *J Mol Biol* 1997, **266**:217–222.
92. Yamada K, Ariyoshi M, Morikawa K: **Three-dimensional structural views of branch migration and resolution in DNA homologous recombination.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2004, **14**:130–137.
93. Yamada K, Miyata T, Tsuchiya D, Oyama T, Fujiwara Y, Ohnishi T, Iwasaki H, Shinagawa H, Ariyoshi M, Mayanagi K, Morikawa K: **Crystal structure of the RuvA-RuvB complex: A structural basis for the Holliday junction migrating motor machinery.** *Mol Cell* 2002, **10**:671–681.
94. Xie P: **Model for RuvAB-mediated branch migration of Holliday junctions.** *J Theor Biol* 2007, **249**:566–573.
95. Seigneur M, Bidnenko V, Ehrlich SD, Michel B: **RuvAB acts at arrested replication forks.** *Cell* 1998, **95**:419–430.
96. McGlynn P, Mahdi AA, Lloyd RG: **Characterisation of the catalytically active form of RecG helicase.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000, **28**:2324–2332.
97. Donaldson J, Courcelle C, Courcelle J: **RuvABC is required to resolve holliday junctions that accumulate following replication on damaged templates in Escherichia coli.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:28811–28821.
98. Abd Wahab S, Choi M, Bianco P: **Characterization of the ATPase activity of RecG and RuvAB on model fork structures reveals insight into stalled DNA replication fork repair.** *J Biol Chem* 2013, **288**:26397–26409.
99. Guo P: **New and Notable: Biophysical studies reveal new evidence for one-way revolution mechanism of bacteriophage ϕ 29 DNA packaging motor.** *Biophys J* 2014, **106**:1–2.
100. Guo P, Zhao Z, Haak J, Wang S, Wu D, Meng B, Weitaio T: **Common mechanisms of DNA translocation motors in bacteria and viruses using one-way revolution mechanism without rotation.** *Biotechnol Adv* 2014, **32**:853–872.
101. Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ: **A common mechanism of cellular death induced by bactericidal antibiotics.** *Cell* 2007, **130**:797–810.
102. Dwyer DJ, Kohanski MA, Collins JJ: **Role of reactive oxygen species in antibiotic action and resistance.** *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2009, **12**:482–489.
103. Keren I, Wu Y, Inocencio J, Mulcahy LR, Lewis K: **Killing by bactericidal antibiotics does not depend on reactive oxygen species.** *Science* 2013, **339**:1213–1216.
104. Chellappa ST, Maredia R, Phipps K, Haskins WE, Weitaio T: **Motility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa contributes to the SOS-inducible biofilm formation.** *Res Microbiol* 2013, **164**:1019–1027.
105. Gotoh H, Gotoh H, Kasaraneni N, Devineni N, Dallo SF, Weitaio T: **SOS involvement in stress-inducible biofilm formation.** *Biofouling* 2010, **26**:603–611.
106. Gotoh H, Zhang Y, Dallo SF, Hong S, Kasaraneni N, Weitaio T: **Pseudomonas aeruginosa under DNA replication inhibition tends to form biofilms via Arr.** *Res Microbiol* 2008, **159**:294–302.
107. Maredia R, Devineni N, Lentz P, Dallo SF, Yu JJ, Guentzel N, Chambers J, Arulanandam B, Haskins WE, Weitaio T: **Vesiculation from Pseudomonas aeruginosa under SOS.** *ISRN Mol Biol* 2012, **2012**:402919.
108. Weitaio T: **Multicellularity of a unicellular organism in response to DNA replication stress.** *Res Microbiol* 2009, **160**:87–88.
109. Weitaio T: **Bacteria form biofilms against cancer metastasis.** *Medical Hypothesis* 2009, **72**:477–478.
110. Devineni N, Maredia R, Weitaio T: **Can bacteria evolve anticancer phenotypes?** In *Bacteria and Cancer*. Edited by Khan AA. Netherlands: Springer Science Publisher; 2012. 11:245–256.
111. Dallo SF, Weitaio T: **Bacteria under SOS evolve anticancer phenotypes.** *Infect Agents Canc* 2010, **5**:3.

doi:10.1186/2045-3701-4-31

Cite this article as: Wolfe et al.: Viral and cellular SOS-regulated motor proteins: dsDNA translocation mechanisms with divergent functions. *Cell & Bioscience* 2014 **4**:31.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

